

# APPROXIMATE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF MAPPINGS OF CARNOT–CARATHÉODORY SPACES \*

S. G. Basalaev, S. K. Vodopyanov

**Key words:** approximate differentiability, Carnot–Carathéodory space.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 53C17, 58C25;  
Secondary: 28A75

**Abstract:** We study the approximate differentiability of measurable mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces. We show that the approximate differentiability almost everywhere is equivalent to the approximate differentiability along the basic horizontal vector fields almost everywhere. As a geometric tool we prove the generalization of Rashevsky–Chow theorem for  $C^1$ -smooth vector fields. The main result of the paper extends theorems on approximate differentiability proved by Stepanoff (1923, 1925) and Whitney (1951) in Euclidean spaces and by Vodopyanov (2000) on Carnot groups.

## Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Geometry of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 Carnot–Carathéodory spaces . . . . .	5
1.2 The coordinates of the 1st kind . . . . .	6
1.3 Local geometry of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces . . . . .	7
1.4 The coordinates of the 2nd kind . . . . .	10
1.5 Special coordinate system of the 2nd kind and Rashevsky– Chow Theorem . . . . .	13
1.6 Carnot–Carathéodory metric and Ball-Box Theorem . . . . .	17

---

\*The research was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grants 10–01–00662-a and 11–01–00819-a), the State Maintenance Program for Young Russian Scientists and the Leading Scientific Schools of the Russian Federation (Grant NSh-921.2012.1), and the Federal Target Grant "Scientific and educational personnel of innovation Russia" for 2009-2013 (Agreements No. 8206 and 8212).

<b>2</b>	<b>Approximate limit and differentiability</b>	<b>18</b>
2.1	Hausdorff measure . . . . .	18
2.2	Approximate limit and its properties . . . . .	19
2.3	Differentiability in the sub-Riemannian geometry . . . . .	21
2.4	Approximate differentiability . . . . .	23
<b>3</b>	<b>Theorem on approximate differentiability</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1	Approximate derivatives . . . . .	27
3.2	Construction and properties of a mapping of local groups . . .	33
3.3	Proof of theorem on approximate differentiability . . . . .	37
<b>4</b>	<b>Application: an area formula</b>	<b>41</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>43</b>

## Introduction

In 1919 Rademacher proved a theorem that is the well-known result of the theory of functions of real variable.

**Theorem 0.1** ([R]). *If  $U$  is an open subset in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a Lipschitz mapping then  $f$  is differentiable at almost all points of the set  $U$ .*

The result permits many enhancements and generalizations. The most natural is to have an arbitrary measurable set as the domain of the function together with a weaker assumption on the function. Such a result is the Stepanoff theorem:

**Theorem 0.2** ([S1]). *If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a measurable set and the function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfies the condition*

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{|f(x) - f(a)|}{|x - a|} < \infty \quad \text{at every point } a \in A, \quad (0.1)$$

*then  $f$  is differentiable at almost all points of the set  $A$ .*

*The density of a measurable set  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a limit*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(Y \cap B(x, r))}{\mathcal{H}^n(B(x, r))},$$

*in case it exists (here  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure).*

It is known that almost all points of a measurable set  $Y$  are the density points (i. e. the density of the set is 1 at those points) and almost all points of the set  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Y$  are the points of the density 0.

A value  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is called *the approximate limit* of a function  $f : E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  at a density point  $x_0 \in E$  (denoted by  $y = \text{ap} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ ) if the set  $E \setminus f^{-1}(W)$  have the density 0 at the point  $x_0$  for every neighborhood  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  of the point  $y$ . The approximate limit is unique [F].

The idea of the approximate limit is tightly connected with the fundamental notion of the geometric measure theory: the notion of measurability. Precisely, for a mapping of the Euclidean spaces to be measurable, it is necessary and sufficient to be approximately continuous almost everywhere (see, for instance, [F]).

If we consider the convergence of the relation  $\frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t}$  to the value  $L(v)$  of a linear mapping  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  in different topologies of the unit ball  $B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  then we proceed to different notions of differentiability. The convergence to  $L$  in the uniform topology  $C(B(0, 1))$  gives us the classical differentiability. The convergence to  $L$  by measure gives just the notion of approximate differentiability of the Euclidean spaces, see for instance [Re].

With the approximate differential introduced by Stepanoff, the following result was obtained in his work:

**Theorem 0.3** ([S2]). *The function is approximately differentiable almost everywhere if and only if it has approximate derivatives with respect to each variable almost everywhere.*

It worth noting that if a mapping has a classical differential then it has an approximate one and these differentials coincide. Therefore, the approximate differential generalizes the concept of the classical differentiability.

With use of the approximate differential Theorem 0.2 can be further extended in the following direction. For doing this we apply a result of [F]:

**Theorem 0.4.** *If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and*

$$\text{ap} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{|f(x) - f(a)|}{|x - a|} < \infty \quad \text{for every point } a \in A, \quad (0.2)$$

*then  $A$  is a union of the disjoint sequence of the measurable sets  $A_i$  and a set of measure zero such that every restriction  $f|_{A_i}$  is a Lipschitz mapping.*

Hence, for a function  $f$  meeting the condition (0.2), by Theorem 0.1, we have every restriction  $f|_{A_i}$  being differentiable almost everywhere in  $A_i$ . The

density points for the set  $A_i$  also are the density points for the set  $A$ . Therefore, one can conclude that the mapping  $f$  is approximately differentiable almost everywhere in  $A$ .

The condition (0.2) is the weakest because it obviously holds for the approximately differentiable function.

The final representation of the theorem is how it was stated by Whitney

**Theorem 0.5** ([W]). *Let the set  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be measurable and bounded,  $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a measurable function. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *the mapping  $f$  is approximately differentiable almost everywhere in  $P$ ;*
- 2) *the mapping  $f$  has approximate derivatives with respect to each variable almost everywhere in  $P$ ;*
- 3) *there is a countable family of the disjoint sets  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$  such that  $|P \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i| = 0$  and every restriction  $f|_{Q_i}$  is a Lipschitz mapping;*
- 4) *for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are a closed set  $Q \subset P$  such that  $|P \setminus Q| < \varepsilon$  and a  $C^1$ -smooth mapping  $g : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $g = f$  in  $Q$ .*

An appropriate concept of differentiability for mappings of Carnot groups was introduced by P. Pansu in [P]. Now it is called the  $\mathcal{P}$ -differentiability. It was introduced for some results of the theory of quasiconformal mappings to establish [P, KR]. Some classes of  $\mathcal{P}$ -differentiable mappings of Carnot groups were described in [VU1, V3, Ma] with a purpose to obtain some formulas of geometric measure theory and some crucial results of quasiconformal analysis [V1, VU2, V2, V4, V6, Pa].

Later, in [V5, KV] concept of  $\mathcal{P}$ -differentiability was extended for mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces for proving Rademacher and Stepanoff type theorems.

In this work we obtain a partial generalization of Theorem 0.5 to mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces.

**Theorem 0.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be Carnot–Carathéodory spaces,  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a measurable subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a measurable mapping. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *the mapping  $f$  is approximately differentiable almost everywhere in  $E$ ;*
- 2) *the mapping  $f$  has approximate derivatives along the basic horizontal vector fields almost everywhere in  $E$ ;*
- 3) *there is a sequence of the disjoint sets  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$  such that  $|E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i| = 0$  and every restriction  $f|_{Q_i}$  is a Lipschitz mapping.*

A proof of Theorem 0.6 is a significant modification of the arguments of the work [V3] where the similar result was proved for mappings of Carnot

groups. In the proof we essentially use metric properties of the initial and nilpotentized vector fields discovered in [KV, K1, K2, Gr].

## 1 Geometry of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces

We split our work in four sections. In the first one we give the basic notions and structures concerning Carnot–Carathéodory spaces. In Subsections 1.2 and 1.4 we have a look at different ways of specifying a metric and coordinate system in the Carnot–Carathéodory spaces. In Subsection 1.5 we build a special coordinate system of the second kind based on the compositions of the integral lines of the horizontal vector fields. As the consequence of this result we obtain Chow–Rashevsky theorem for  $C^1$ -smooth vector fields. We formulate also local approximation theorem for Carnot–Carathéodory metric.

In Section 2 we introduce definitions of measure, approximate limit, differentiability and approximate differentiability and formulate necessary results obtained earlier.

The third section is devoted to the proof of the theorem on approximate differentiability. We state the theorem and show trivial implications. Then we formulate the key step of the theorem. Main steps of its proof are carried out in separate lemmas. In this proof we make use of special coordinate system of the 2nd kind  $(a_1, \dots, a_N) \mapsto \Phi_N(a_N) \circ \dots \circ \Phi_1(a_1)$  constructed in Subsection 1.5. First, in Subsection 3.1 we show that function having approximate derivatives along the basic horizontal vector fields has approximate derivatives along the vector fields  $Y_k(t)$  which generate the coordinate functions  $\Phi_k(t) = \exp(Y_k(t))$ . In the next subsection with use of this coordinate system we build a mapping of local Carnot groups and study its properties. Finally, in Subsection 3.3 we prove that this mapping is really the differential of the initial mapping.

As an application of our results, in the last section we prove an area formula for approximately differentiable mappings.

### 1.1 Carnot–Carathéodory spaces

Recall the definition of Carnot–Carathéodory space satisfying the condition of the equiregularity ([G, NSW, KV]). Fix a connected Riemannian  $C^\infty$ -manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  of topological dimension  $N$ . The manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a *Carnot–Carathéodory space* if the tangent bundle  $T\mathcal{M}$  has a filtration

$$H\mathcal{M} = H_1\mathcal{M} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq H_i\mathcal{M} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq H_M\mathcal{M} = T\mathcal{M}$$

by subbundles such that every point  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  has a neighborhood  $U(g) \subset \mathcal{M}$  equipped with a collection of  $C^1$ -smooth vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ , constituting a basis of  $T_v\mathcal{M}$  in every point  $v \in U(g)$  and meeting the following two properties. For every  $v \in U(g)$ ,

(1)  $H_i\mathcal{M}(v) = H_i(v) = \text{span}\{X_1(v), \dots, X_{\dim H_i}(v)\}$  is a subspace of  $T_v\mathcal{M}$  of a constant dimension  $\dim H_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, M$ ;

(2)  $H_{j+1} = \text{span}\{H_j, [H_1, H_j], [H_2, H_{j-1}], \dots, [H_k, H_{j+1-k}]\}$  where  $k = \lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M-1$ .

The subbundle  $H\mathcal{M}$  is called *horizontal*.

The number  $M$  is called the *depth* of the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The *degree*  $\deg X_k$  is defined as  $\min\{m \mid X_k \in H_m\}$ .

**Remark 1.1.** The condition (2) implies that we have the following “commutator table”:

$$[X_i, X_j](v) = \sum_{k: \deg X_k \leq \deg X_i + \deg X_j} c_{ijk}(v) X_k(v). \quad (1.1)$$

Note, that (1.1) is weaker than condition (2) as it just implies  $[H_i, H_j] \subseteq H_{i+j}$ .

## 1.2 The coordinates of the 1st kind

In the sequel we denote by  $B_e(a, r)$  an open Euclidean ball centered at the point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and with a radius  $r$ . From the theorems on smooth dependence of solutions of ordinary differential equations on a parameter it follows (see e. g. [A]) that the mapping

$$\theta_g : (x_1, \dots, x_N) \rightarrow \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i X_i\right)(g), \quad \theta_g(0) = \theta_g(0, \dots, 0) = g,$$

is a  $C^1$ -smooth diffeomorphism of a ball  $B_e(0, \varepsilon_g)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $\varepsilon_g$  is a positive number small enough, into the neighborhood  $O_g$  of the point  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ .

The collection of numbers  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \theta_g^{-1}u \in B_e(0, \varepsilon_g)$ , is called *the coordinates of the 1st kind* of the point  $u = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i X_i\right)(g)$ .

The neighborhood  $U(g_0)$  of the point  $g_0$  can be chosen so that  $U(g_0) \subset \bigcap_{g \in U(g_0)} O_g$ . Then for every couple of points  $u, g \in U(g_0)$  there is the unique

tuple of numbers  $(y_1, \dots, y_N)$  such that  $u = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i X_i\right)(g)$ . For every couple of points  $u$  and  $g$  define the non-negative quantity

$$d_\infty(u, g) = \max\{|y_i|^{1/\deg X_i} : i = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

An open ball in quasidistance  $d_\infty$  of radius  $r$  with center in  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  we denote as  $\text{Box}(g, r)$ .

### 1.3 Local geometry of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces

Using the normal coordinates  $\theta_g^{-1}$ , define the *dilation*  $\Delta_\varepsilon^g : B(g, r) \rightarrow B(g, \varepsilon r)$ ,  $0 < r \leq r_g$ : to an element  $x = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i X_i\right)(g)$  we assign

$$\Delta_\varepsilon^g x = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \varepsilon^{\deg X_i} X_i\right)(g)$$

in the case when the right-hand side makes sense. The following theorem generalizes a result established under additional smoothness of vector fields in [Me, RS, G].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $g$  be a point in the Carnot–Carathéodory space  $\mathcal{M}$ . The following statements hold:*

(1) *Coefficients*

$$\hat{c}_{ijk} = \begin{cases} c_{ijk}(g), & \text{if } \deg X_i + \deg X_j = \deg X_k; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

where  $c_{ijk}(\cdot)$  are the functions from the commutator table (1.1), define the structure of nilpotent graded Lie algebra on  $T_g \mathcal{M}$ .

(2) *There are vector fields  $\{\hat{X}_i^g\}$  with the initial conditions  $\hat{X}_i^g(g) = X_i(g)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , taking place in  $\text{Box}(g, r_g)$  that constitute a basis of the nilpotent graded Lie algebra  $V(g)$  with the following “commutator table”:*

$$[\hat{X}_i^g, \hat{X}_j^g] = \sum_{k=1}^N \hat{c}_{ijk} \hat{X}_k^g = \sum_{\deg X_k = \deg X_i + \deg X_j} c_{ijk}(g) \hat{X}_k^g. \quad (1.2)$$

(3) *For  $x \in \text{Box}(g, r_g)$  consider the vector fields*

$$X_i^\varepsilon(x) = (\Delta_{\varepsilon^{-1}}^g)_* \varepsilon^{\deg X_i} X_i(\Delta_\varepsilon^g x), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

*Then the following equality holds*

$$X_i^\varepsilon(x) = \hat{X}_i^g(x) + \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}(x) \hat{X}_j^g(x) \quad (1.3)$$

where  $a_{ij}(x) = o(\varepsilon^{\max\{0, \deg X_j - \deg X_i\}})$  in  $x \in \text{Box}(g, r_g)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Moreover, given a compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that the relation (1.3) holds for all  $g \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $x \in \text{Box}(g, r)$  and  $o(\cdot)$  is uniform in  $g$  belonging to  $\mathcal{K}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

The first statement of theorem is proved in [KV]. The second follows from the classical Lie theorem [Li, Pos]. The third statement is obtained in [K2] for  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth vector fields and in [Gr] for  $C^1$ -smooth vector fields.

The equality (1.3) implies Gromov's nilpotentization theorem with respect to the coordinates of the first kind. Notice that for the first time it was formulated in [G, p. 130] in the coordinates of the second kind.

**Theorem 1.3** ([K2, Gr]). *The uniform convergence  $X_i^\varepsilon \rightarrow \widehat{X}_i^g$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , holds at the points of  $\text{Box}(g, r_g)$  and this convergence is uniform in  $g$  belonging to some compact neighborhood.*

The Lie algebra from Theorem 1.2 can be constructed as a graded nilpotent Lie algebra  $V'$  of vector fields  $(\widehat{X}_j^g)'$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , such that the exponential mapping  $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i (\widehat{X}_i^g)'\right)(0)$  equals identity [Pos, BLU].

The connected simply connected Lie group  $\mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$  with the nilpotent graded Lie algebra  $V'$  is called the *nilpotent tangent cone* of the Carnot–Carathéodory space  $\mathcal{M}$  at the point  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . The condition (2) from the definition of Carnot–Carathéodory space provides that  $\mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$  is a *Carnot group*, i. e. if we denote  $V_k = \text{span}\{\widehat{X}_i^g : \deg X_i = k\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} V' &= V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_M, \quad [V_1, V_k] = V_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1, \\ [V_1, V_M] &= \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By means of the exponential map we can push-forward the vector fields  $(\widehat{X}_j^g)'$  onto some neighborhood of  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  for obtaining the vector fields  $\widehat{X}_j^g(\theta_g(x)) = D\theta_g(x)\langle(\widehat{X}_j^g)'\rangle$ .

To the Carnot group  $\mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$  there corresponds a *local Carnot group*  $\mathcal{G}^g$  with the nilpotent Lie algebra with the basic vector fields  $\widehat{X}_1^g, \dots, \widehat{X}_N^g$ . Define it so that the mapping  $\theta_g$  is a *local group isomorphism* between some neighborhoods of the identity elements of the groups  $\mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^g$ . The group operation for the elements  $x = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \widehat{X}_i^g\right)(g) \in \mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$  and  $y = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \widehat{X}_i^g\right)(g) \in \mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$



$\mathcal{G}^g$  is defined by means of local group isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \widehat{X}_i^g\right) \circ \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \widehat{X}_i^g\right)(g) \\ &= \theta_g \circ \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i (\widehat{X}^g)'_i\right) \circ \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i (\widehat{X}^g)'_i\right)(0). \end{aligned}$$

Define the one-parameter dilation group  $\delta_t^g$  on  $\mathcal{G}^g$ :

to the element  $x = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \widehat{X}_i^g\right)(g) \in \mathcal{G}^g$ , there corresponds

$$\delta_t^g x = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i t^{\deg X_i} \widehat{X}_i^g\right)(g) \in \mathcal{G}^g, \quad t \in (0, t(x)).$$

The relation  $\delta_t^g x \cdot \delta_\tau^g x = \delta_{t\tau}^g x$  is defined for  $t, \tau$  such that  $t, \tau, t\tau \in (0, t(x))$ .

We extend the definition of  $\delta_t^g$  on the negative  $t$ , setting  $\delta_t^g x = \delta_{|t|}^g(x^{-1})$  for  $t < 0$ .

Since the local Carnot group  $\mathcal{G}^g$  itself is a Carnot–Carathéodory space with the collection of vector fields  $\{\widehat{X}_j^g\}$ , it is endowed with the quasidistance  $d_\infty^g(x, y)$ .

Throughout the paper we use the following properties.

**Property 1.4** ([KV]). *Geometric properties of the local Carnot group:*

(1) *The mapping  $\delta_t^g$  is a group automorphism: for all elements  $x, y \in \mathcal{G}^g$  and numbers  $t \in (0, \min\{t(x), t(y), t(x \cdot y)\})$  we have  $\delta_t^g x \cdot \delta_t^g y = \delta_t^g(x \cdot y)$ .*

(2) *The function  $\mathcal{G}^g \ni x \rightarrow d_\infty^g(g, x)$  is a local homogeneous norm on  $\mathcal{G}^g$ , i. e., it meets the following conditions:*

(a)  $d_\infty^g(g, x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in \mathcal{G}^g$  and  $d_\infty^g(g, x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = g$ ;

(b)  $d_\infty^g(g, \delta_t^g x) = t d_\infty^g(g, x)$  for every  $t \in (0, t(x))$ ;

(c)  $d_\infty^g(g, x \cdot y) \leq Q_1(d_\infty^g(g, x) + d_\infty^g(g, y))$  for all  $x, y, x \cdot y \in \mathcal{G}^g$ . The constant  $Q_1$  is bounded with respect to  $g$  in some compact set in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(3) *The quantity  $d_\infty^g(a, b) = d_\infty^g(g, b^{-1} \cdot a)$  is a left invariant distance on  $\mathcal{G}^g$ :  $d_\infty^g(x \cdot a, x \cdot b) = d_\infty^g(a, b)$  for all  $a, b, x \in \mathcal{G}^g$  for which the left- and right-hand sides of the equality make sense.*

**Property 1.5** ([KV]). *Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then*

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i\right)(g) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i \widehat{X}_i^g\right)(g)$$

for all  $|a_i| < r_g$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

Observe, that the latter implies  $d_\infty^g(g, x) = d_\infty(g, x)$ .

**Proposition 1.6** ([KV, KV1]). *The quantity  $d_\infty$  is a quasimetric in the sense of [NSW] that is the following relations hold for all points of the neighborhood  $U(g_0)$ :*

- 1)  $d_\infty(u, g) \geq 0$ ,  $d_\infty(u, g) = 0$  if and only if  $u = g$ ;
- 2)  $d_\infty(u, g) = d_\infty(g, u)$ ;
- 3) *there is a constant  $Q \geq 1$  such that, for every triple of points  $u, w, v \in U(g_0)$ , we have*

$$d_\infty(u, v) \leq Q(d_\infty(u, w) + d_\infty(w, v)).$$

An essential difference between the geometry of a sub-Riemannian space and the geometry of a Riemannian space is that the metrics of the initial space and of the nilpotent tangent cone are not bi-Lipschitz equivalent. Therefore, in studying the questions of the local behavior of the geometric objects, it is important to know estimates of the deviation of one metric from another.

**Theorem 1.7** ([KV1, Theorem 8]). *Assume that  $g, w_0 \in U(g_0)$  satisfy  $d_\infty(g, w_0) = C\varepsilon$ . For a fixed  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the points*

$$\widehat{w}_j^\varepsilon = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_{i,j} \varepsilon^{\deg X_j} \widehat{X}_j^g\right)(\widehat{w}_{j-1}^\varepsilon), \quad w_j^\varepsilon = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_{i,j} \varepsilon^{\deg X_j} X_j\right)(w_{j-1}^\varepsilon),$$

$\widehat{w}_0^\varepsilon = w_0^\varepsilon = w_0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, L$ . Then

$$\max\{d_\infty^g(\widehat{w}_L^\varepsilon, w_L^\varepsilon), d_\infty(\widehat{w}_L^\varepsilon, w_L^\varepsilon)\} = o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $o(\varepsilon)$  is uniform in  $g, w_0 \in U(g_0)$  and  $\{w_{i,j}\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, L$ , in some compact neighborhood of 0 and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.8** ([KV1, Theorem 6]). *Consider points  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $u, v \in \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\varepsilon \in (0, r_g)$ . Then*

$$|d_\infty^g(u, v) - d_\infty(u, v)| = o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $o(\varepsilon)$  is uniform in  $u, v \in \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon)$  and  $g$  belonging to some compact set.

## 1.4 The coordinates of the 2nd kind

In the neighborhood of a point  $g_0$  consider the same family of the basic vector fields  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{\dim H_1}, X_{\dim H_1+1}, \dots, X_N\}$  as in definition of the coordinates of the first kind. It is known that the mapping

$$(a_1, \dots, a_N) \mapsto \exp(a_N X_N) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_1 X_1)(g) \quad (1.4)$$

is a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism of some neighborhood  $B_e(0, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  to a neighborhood  $V(g)$  of  $g$  (so called *coordinates of the second kind*). Similarly to the case of the coordinates of the first kind we can choose a neighborhood  $U(g_0)$  such that  $U(g_0) \subset \bigcap_{g \in U(g_0)} V(g)$ .

For the points  $u, g \in U(g_0)$ ,  $u = \exp(a_N X_N) \circ \cdots \circ \exp(a_1 X_1)(g)$ , by means of the coordinates of the 2nd kind we can define a quantity

$$d_2(u, g) = \max\{|a_i|^{1/\deg X_i} : i = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

Next we show that the quantity  $d_2(u, g)$  is comparable with the quasimetric  $d_\infty(u, g)$  in a neighborhood  $U(g_0)$  i. e.

$$c_1 d_\infty(u, g) \leq d_2(u, g) \leq c_2 d_\infty(u, g) \quad (1.5)$$

for all points  $u, g \in U(g_0)$  and positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  independent of  $u, g \in U(g_0)$ .

**Remark 1.9.** For Carnot groups the equivalence of  $d_\infty$  and  $d_2$  is known (see, for instance, [FS]). This means that if  $d_\infty^g$  and  $d_2^g$  are quasimetrics in the local Carnot group  $\mathcal{G}^g$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ , then there are constants  $c_1^g$  and  $c_2^g$  such that

$$c_1^g d_\infty^g(u, v) \leq d_2^g(u, v) \leq c_2^g d_\infty^g(u, v) \quad (1.6)$$

for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{G}^g$ .

**Proposition 1.10.** *There are constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that inequalities (1.5) hold for all points  $u, g$  in some neighborhood  $U(g_0)$  in which quasimetrics  $d_\infty$  and  $d_2$  are defined.*

*Proof.* Let  $u, g \in U(g_0)$  be arbitrary points and  $d_2(u, g) = r$ . Assuming that  $y_0 = g, y_1 = \exp(a_1 X_1)(y_0), \dots, y_N = \exp(a_N X_N)(y_{N-1})$  from the generalized triangle inequality (see Proposition 1.6) we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} d_\infty(u, g) &\leq Q^{N-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_\infty(y_i, y_{i-1}) \right) \\ &\leq Q^{N-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^{\frac{1}{\deg X_i}} \right) \leq N Q^{N-1} r = N Q^{N-1} d_2(u, g). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Thus the left inequality in (1.5) is proved with  $c_1 = (N Q^{N-1})^{-1}$ .

Next, suggest that the right inequality in (1.5) does not hold in some closed ball  $\overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, 2r_0)$ . Then there are sequences of points  $x_n, y_n \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)$  converging to the same point  $x_0 \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)$ , such that

$$\varepsilon_n = d_2(x_n, y_n) \geq n d_\infty(x_n, y_n),$$

where  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (otherwise the right inequality in (1.5) would be fulfilled in  $\overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)$ ). Define on  $\overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)$  dilations  $\mathfrak{D}_t^g$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_t^g$  as follows: to an element  $x = \exp(x_N X_N) \circ \dots \circ \exp(x_1 X_1)(g) \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)$  assign

$$\mathfrak{D}_t^g x = \exp(x_N t^{\deg X_N} X_N) \circ \dots \circ \exp(x_1 t X_1)(g)$$

and to an element  $\hat{x} = \exp(x_N \widehat{X}_N^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(x_1 \widehat{X}_1^g)(g) \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0) \cap \mathcal{G}^g$  assign

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_t^g \hat{x} = \exp(x_N t^{\deg X_N} \widehat{X}_N^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(x_1 t \widehat{X}_1^g)(g).$$

Observe that  $d_2(g, \mathfrak{D}_t^g x) = t d_2(g, x)$  and  $d_2^g(g, \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_t^g x) = t d_2^g(g, x)$ . Let

$$0 < \delta = \sup\{t > 0 : \mathfrak{D}_t^g x, \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_t^g x \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, 2r_0) \text{ for all } x, g \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{D}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} y_n \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, 2r_0)$  and

$$d_2(x_n, \mathfrak{D}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} y_n) = \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon_n} d_2(x_n, y_n) = \delta > 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Represent  $y_n$  in coordinates of the 2nd kind as  $y_n = \exp(y_{nN} X_N) \circ \dots \circ \exp(y_{n1} X_1)(x_n)$  and define

$$z_n = \exp(y_{nN} \widehat{X}_N^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(y_{n1} \widehat{X}_1^g)(x_n).$$

Since  $d_\infty(x_n, y_n) = d_\infty^{x_n}(x_n, y_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}$ , from (1.6) it follows

$$d_2^{x_n}(x_n, y_n) \leq c_2^{x_n} d_\infty^{x_n}(x_n, y_n) \leq c_2^{x_n} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{n} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}\right)$$

where  $O(\cdot)$  is uniform in  $\overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)$ . This means that in the representation

$$y_n = \exp(v_{nN} \widehat{X}_N^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(v_{n1} \widehat{X}_1^g)(x_n)$$

the coordinates  $v_j$  meet the property  $|v_{nj}|^{\deg X_j} = O(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n})$ . Then we can apply Theorem 1.7 to points  $y_n$  and  $z_n$  and derive that  $d_\infty^{x_n}(y_n, z_n) = o(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n})$ . Consequently,

$$d_\infty^{x_n}(x_n, z_n) \leq C(d_\infty^{x_n}(x_n, y_n) + d_\infty^{x_n}(y_n, z_n)) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}\right) + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}\right) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{n}\right).$$

From Theorem 1.7 it also follows  $d_\infty^{x_n}(\mathfrak{D}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} y_n, \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} z_n) = o(\frac{1}{n})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^{x_n}(x_n, \mathfrak{D}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} y_n) &\leq C_1(d_2^{x_n}(x_n, \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} z_n) + d_2^{x_n}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} z_n, \mathfrak{D}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} y_n)) \\ &= C_1\left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon_n} d_2^{x_n}(x_n, z_n) + d_2^{x_n}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} z_n, \mathfrak{D}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} y_n)\right) \\ &= C_2\left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon_n} d_\infty^{x_n}(x_n, z_n) + d_\infty^{x_n}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} z_n, \mathfrak{D}_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}^{x_n} y_n)\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2 < \infty$  are bounded, all  $O(\cdot)$  are uniform in  $\overline{\text{Box}}(g_0, r_0)$ .

Hence we come to a contradiction with (1.8), and, therefore, the right inequality in (1.5) is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.11.** *The quantity  $d_2$  is a quasimetric in the sense of [NSW], i. e. the following conditions hold for the points of the neighborhood  $U(g_0)$ :*

- 1)  $d_2(u, g) \geq 0$ ,  $d_2(u, g) = 0$  if and only if  $u = g$ ;
- 2)  $d_2(u, g) \leq c_1^{-1} c_2 d_2(g, u)$ , where the constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are the ones from the proposition 1.10;
- 3) there is a constant  $Q_2 \geq 1$  such that for every triple of the points  $u, w, v \in U(g_0)$  we have

$$d_2(u, v) \leq Q_2(d_2(u, w) + d_2(w, v)),$$

where  $Q_2 = c_1^{-1} c_2 Q$  and  $Q$  is a constant from the generalized triangle inequality for  $d_\infty$ ;

- (4)  $d_2(u, v)$  is continuous with respect to the first variable.

*Proof.* Prove for example the second property:  $d_2(u, g) \leq c_2 d_\infty(u, g) = c_2 d_\infty(g, u) \leq c_1^{-1} c_2 d_2(g, u)$ . The third property can be proved using the same procedure. The last property follows from the continuous dependence of solutions of ODE on the initial data.  $\square$

## 1.5 Special coordinate system of the 2nd kind and Rashevsky–Chow Theorem

The goal of this section is to modify the coordinate system of the 2nd kind

$$(t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto \exp(t_N X_N) \circ \dots \circ \exp(t_1 X_1)(g)$$

in the following way. We prove that exponents of nonhorizontal vector fields  $X_k$ ,  $k = \dim H_1 + 1, \dots, N$ , can be replaced by compositions of exponents of horizontal vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{\dim H_1}$  and the resulting mapping still covers a neighborhood of  $g$ . For Carnot groups this property is known as the following statement.

**Lemma 1.12** ([FS]). *Let  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^N, \cdot)$  be a Carnot group and let vector fields  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be the basis of horizontal subspace  $V_1$  of its Lie algebra. Then every point  $v \in \mathbb{G}$  can be represented as*

$$v = \prod_{k=1}^L \exp(a_k Y_{i_k})(0)$$

where  $1 \leq i_k \leq n$ ,  $|a_k| \leq c_1 \|v\|_\infty$ , constants  $L$  and  $c_1$  are independent of  $v$ .

**Lemma 1.13.** *Fix  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . There exists mapping  $\widehat{\Phi}_g : B_e(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^g$  defined as*

$$\widehat{\Phi}_g : (t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}_N(t_N) \circ \dots \circ \widehat{\Phi}_{\dim H_1 + 1}(t_{\dim H_1 + 1}) \circ \exp(\widehat{X}_{\dim H_1}^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(\widehat{X}_1^g)(g) \quad (1.9)$$

that is a homeomorphism of a ball  $B_e(0, \varepsilon)$  onto the neighborhood  $V(g) \subset \mathcal{G}^g$  of a point  $g$  with the mappings  $\widehat{\Phi}_k$  enjoying

$$\widehat{\Phi}_k(t)(\cdot) = \begin{cases} \exp(a_{L,k}t\widehat{X}_{L,k}^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{1,k}t\widehat{X}_{1,k}^g)(\cdot), & t \geq 0, \\ \exp(a_{1,k}t\widehat{X}_{1,k}^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{L,k}t\widehat{X}_{L,k}^g)(\cdot), & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $|a_{i,k}| \leq c_1$  for all  $k = \dim H_1 + 1, \dots, N$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ , every  $\widehat{X}_{i,k}^g$  is from  $\{\widehat{X}_1^g, \dots, \widehat{X}_{\dim H_1}^g\}$ .

*Proof.* Consider coordinate system of the 2nd kind on the nilpotent tangent cone  $\mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$ .

$$\Theta_g(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \exp(t_N(\widehat{X}_N^g)') \circ \dots \circ \exp(t_1(\widehat{X}_1^g)')(0).$$

The mapping  $\Theta_g$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . For every nonhorizontal vector field  $(\widehat{X}_k^g)'$  fix decomposition given by Lemma 1.12

$$\exp((\widehat{X}_k^g)')(0) = \exp(a_{L,k}(\widehat{X}_{L,k}^g)') \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{1,k}(\widehat{X}_{1,k}^g)')(0).$$

Here  $|a_{i,k}| < c_1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $k = \dim H_1 + 1, \dots, N$ , and every  $(\widehat{X}_{i,k}^g)'$  is from the set  $\{(\widehat{X}_1^g)', \dots, (\widehat{X}_{\dim H_1}^g)'\}$ . Applying dilation  $\delta^g$  to this decomposition we obtain the following representation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_t^g \exp((\widehat{X}_k^g)')(0) &= \exp(t^{\deg X_k}(\widehat{X}_k^g)')(0) \\ &= \exp(a_{L,k}t(\widehat{X}_{L,k}^g)') \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{1,k}t(\widehat{X}_{1,k}^g)')(0), \quad t \geq 0, \\ \delta_t^g \exp((\widehat{X}_k^g)')(0) &= \exp(-|t|^{\deg X_k}(\widehat{X}_k^g)')(0) \\ &= \exp(a_{1,k}t(\widehat{X}_{1,k}^g)') \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{L,k}t(\widehat{X}_{L,k}^g)')(0), \quad t < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Since vector fields  $(\widehat{X}_k^g)'$  are left-invariant, representation (1.10) holds also if we replace 0 by arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{G}_g\mathcal{M}$ .

Next, we push-forward representation (1.10) using local group isomorphism  $\theta_g$ . Define mappings  $\widehat{\Phi}_k : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^g$  as

$$\widehat{\Phi}_k(t)(w) = \begin{cases} \exp(a_{L,k}t\widehat{X}_{L,k}^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{1,k}t\widehat{X}_{1,k}^g)(w), & t \geq 0, \\ \exp(a_{1,k}t\widehat{X}_{1,k}^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{L,k}t\widehat{X}_{L,k}^g)(w), & t < 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where, by definition,

$$\exp(a\widehat{X}_i^g) \circ \exp(b\widehat{X}_j^g) = \theta_g \circ \exp(a(\widehat{X}_i^g)') \circ \exp(b(\widehat{X}_j^g)') \circ \theta_g^{-1}$$

and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough that (1.11) makes sense for all  $k = \dim H_1 + 1, \dots, N$ ,  $t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  and  $w \in \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon)$ .

Consider a mapping  $\widehat{\Phi}_g$  defined as in (1.9). Since, by construction,

$$\widehat{\Phi}_g(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \theta_g \circ \Theta_g(t_1^{\deg X_1}, \dots, t_N^{\deg X_N}),$$

the mapping  $\widehat{\Phi}_g$  is a homeomorphism of a ball  $B_e(0, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  onto the neighborhood  $V(g) \subset \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}^g$ .  $\square$

For every point  $g \in U(g_0)$  define mappings  $\Phi_k : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  as

$$\Phi_k(t)(\cdot) = \begin{cases} \exp(a_{L,k}tX_{L,k}) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{1,k}tX_{1,k})(\cdot), & t \geq 0, \\ \exp(a_{1,k}tX_{1,k}) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_{L,k}tX_{L,k})(\cdot), & t < 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

where coefficients  $a_{i,k}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $k = \dim H_1 + 1, \dots, N$ , are taken from the representation (1.10). Define also a mapping  $\Phi_g : B_e(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_g : (t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto & \Phi_N(t_N) \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{\dim H_1+1}(t_{\dim H_1+1}) \\ & \circ \exp(t_{\dim H_1}X_{\dim H_1}) \circ \dots \circ \exp(t_1X_1)(g). \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Next, we prove that  $\Phi_g$  is the desired mapping, i. e. there is a neighborhood  $V(g)$  such that  $V(g) \subset \Phi(B_e(0, \varepsilon))$ .

**Theorem 1.14.** *Fix the point  $g_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{\dim H_1}$  be a basis in  $H_1$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U(g_0)$  such that for every point  $g \in U(g_0)$  an element  $v \in U(g_0)$  can be represented as*

$$v = \exp(a_L X_{j_L}) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_2 X_{j_2}) \circ \exp(a_1 X_{j_1})(g), \quad (1.14)$$

where  $1 \leq j_i \leq \dim H_1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|a_i| \leq c_2 d_\infty(g, v)$ , constants  $L$  and  $c_2$  are independent of  $g$  and  $v$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $g_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\widehat{\Phi}_k(t)(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi_k(t)(\cdot)$  be defined as in (1.10) and (1.12). By Theorem 1.7 we have

$$d_\infty(\widehat{\Phi}_k(t)(w), \Phi_k(t)(w)) = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

where  $o(t)$  is uniform with respect to  $g$ ,  $w$  in a compact neighborhood  $U(g_0)$ .

Let  $B_e(0, r)$  be an Euclidean ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and mappings  $\widehat{\Phi}_g$  and  $\Phi_g : B_e(0, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be defined as in (1.9) and (1.13). Observe that both mappings are continuous and that  $d_\infty(\Phi_g(x), \widehat{\Phi}_g(x)) = o(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  where  $o(r)$  is uniform in  $g \in U(g_0)$  and  $x \in B_e(0, r)$ . Moreover,  $\widehat{\Phi}_g$  is a homeomorphism of  $B_e(0, r)$  onto a neighborhood  $V(g) \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{G}^g$ .

Define  $\psi = \Phi_g \circ \widehat{\Phi}_g^{-1}$ . The mapping  $\psi : V(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is continuous and  $d_\infty(v, \psi(v)) = o(d_\infty(g, v))$  as  $v \rightarrow g$  where  $o(\cdot)$  is uniform in  $g, v \in U(g_0)$ . Choose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $d_\infty(v, \psi(v)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2Q}$  for every  $v \in \overline{\text{Box}}(g, \varepsilon)$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and  $g \in U(g_0)$ , where  $Q \geq 1$  is a constant from the generalized triangle inequality for  $d_\infty$ . Next, we prove that  $\psi(\text{Box}(g, \varepsilon))$  is a neighborhood of  $g$ .

Consider a homotopy  $\psi_t(v) = \delta_{1-t}^v \psi(v)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . It is clear that  $\psi_0(v) = \psi(v)$  and  $\psi_1(v) = v$ . Fix a point  $w \in \text{Box}(g, \frac{\varepsilon}{2Q})$ . Then for every  $v \in \partial \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon)$  we have

$$\varepsilon = d_\infty(g, v) \leq Q(d_\infty(g, w) + d_\infty(w, v)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + Qd_\infty(w, v).$$

Hence,  $d_\infty(w, v) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2Q}$ . On the other side, for all  $v \in \partial \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon)$  we also have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\infty(\psi_t(v), v) &= d_\infty(\delta_{1-t}^v \psi(v), v) \\ &= d_\infty^v(\delta_{1-t}^v \psi(v), v) = (1-t)d_\infty^v(\psi(v), v) \\ &\leq d_\infty^v(\psi(v), v) = d_\infty(\psi(v), v) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $w \notin \psi(\partial \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon))$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore, the topological degree of  $\psi_t$  at  $w$  is invariant for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Since

$$\deg(w, \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon), \psi) = \deg(w, \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon), \psi_1) = \deg(w, \text{Box}(g, \varepsilon), \psi_0) = 1,$$

we conclude  $w \in \psi(\text{Box}(g, \varepsilon))$ . In other words  $\text{Box}(g, \frac{\varepsilon}{2Q}) \subset \Phi_g(\text{Box}_e(0, \varepsilon))$ , where  $\text{Box}_e(0, \varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x_i| < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, N\}$  is an Euclidean cube.

Let  $U(g_0)$  be a neighborhood of  $g_0$  small enough that

$$U(g_0) \subset \bigcap_{g \in U(g_0)} \text{Box}(g, \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2Q}).$$

Let  $\varepsilon = d_\infty(g, v)$  where  $g, v \in U(g_0)$ . Then there exists a tuple of numbers  $(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  such that  $|t_i| < 2Q\varepsilon$  and  $v = \Phi_g(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

An absolutely continuous curve  $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is said to be *horizontal* if  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in H_{\gamma(t)}\mathcal{M}$  for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.14 we obtain the following generalization of Rashevsky–Chow theorem [Ra, Ch, KV]. For  $C^1$ -smooth fields  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  this statement is new.



**Theorem 1.15.** 1) Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . There exists a neighborhood  $U$  of a point  $g$  such that every pair of points  $u, v \in U$  in a Carnot–Carathéodory space  $\mathcal{M}$  can be joined by an absolutely continuous horizontal curve  $\gamma$  constituted of at most  $L$  segments of integral lines of basic horizontal fields where  $L$  is independent of the choice of points  $x, y \in U$ .

2) Every pair of points  $u, v$  in a connected Carnot–Carathéodory space  $\mathcal{M}$  can be joined by an absolutely continuous horizontal curve  $\gamma$  constituted of finite number of segments of integral lines of basic horizontal fields.

## 1.6 Carnot–Carathéodory metric and Ball-Box Theorem

The Carnot–Carathéodory distance between two points  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$  is defined as

$$d_{cc}(x, y) = \inf\{T > 0 : \text{there exists a horizontal path } \gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \\ \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(T) = y, |\dot{\gamma}(t)| \leq 1\}.$$

Theorem 1.15 guarantees that  $d_{cc}(x, y) < \infty$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ . An open ball in Carnot–Carathéodory metric with center in  $x$  and radius  $r$  we denote as  $B_{cc}(x, r)$ .

The following statement is called the local approximation theorem. It was formulated in [G, p. 135] for “sufficiently smooth vector fields”. It was proved in [VK2] for  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth vector fields but the same arguments work for the case of  $C^1$ -smooth vector fields since they are based on the property (1.3) [KV1, Theorem 7].

**Theorem 1.16** ([VK2, KV1]). Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then for every two points  $u, v \in B_{cc}(g, \varepsilon)$  we have

$$|d_{cc}(u, v) - d_{cc}^g(u, v)| = o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

where  $o(\varepsilon)$  is uniform in  $u, v \in B(g, \varepsilon)$  and  $g$  belonging to some compact set.

As a corollary we obtain a comparison of metric  $d_{cc}$  and quasimetric  $d_\infty$  and Ball-Box theorem.

**Theorem 1.17** ([KV1, Theorem 11]). Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . There exists a compact neighborhood  $U(g) \subset \mathcal{M}$  and constants  $0 < C_1 \leq C_2 < \infty$  independent of  $u, v \in U(g)$  such that

$$C_1 d_\infty(u, v) \leq d_{cc}(u, v) \leq C_2 d_\infty(u, v) \quad (1.15)$$

for all  $u, v \in U(g)$ .

The following statement was proved under smooth enough vector fields in [NSW, G], for  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth vector fields,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , in [KV] and for  $C^1$ -smooth vector fields in [KV1].

**Corollary 1.18** (Ball-Box theorem [KV1]). *Given a compact neighborhood  $U \in \mathcal{M}$ , there exist constants  $0 < C_1 \leq C_2 < \infty$  and  $r_0 > 0$  independent of  $x \in U$  such that*

$$\text{Box}(x, C_1 r) \subset B_{cc}(x, r) \subset \text{Box}(x, C_2 r)$$

for all  $r \in (0, r_0)$  and  $x \in U$ .

## 2 Approximate limit and differentiability

### 2.1 Hausdorff measure

The (spherical)  $k$ -dimensional *Hausdorff measure* of the set  $E$  with respect to metric  $d_{cc}$  is the quantity

$$\mathcal{H}^k(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \inf \left\{ \sum_i r_i^k : E \subset \bigcup_i B_{cc}(x_i, r_i), r_i < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1** ([Mi, KV]). *The Hausdorff dimension of  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $d_{cc}$  is equal to*

$$\nu = \sum_{k=1}^N \deg X_k = \sum_{i=1}^M i(\dim H_i - \dim H_{i-1})$$

where  $\dim H_0 = 0$ .

Ball-Box theorem implies the double property of measure.

**Proposition 2.2.** *We have*

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(x, 2r)) \leq C \mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(x, r))$$

where  $C < \infty$  is bounded in  $r \in (0, r_0]$  and  $x$  belonging to some compact part  $V \subset \mathcal{M}$ .

## 2.2 Approximate limit and its properties

The *density* of a set  $Y$  at  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  is a limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(x, r) \cap Y)}{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(x, r))},$$

if it exists at  $x$  (where  $\nu$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the space  $\mathcal{M}$ ).

Let  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a measurable set and  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  be a mapping to a metric space  $\mathbb{M}$ .

A point  $y \in \mathbb{M}$  is called the *approximate limit* of the mapping  $f$  at the point  $g \in E$  of density 1 and is denoted by  $y = \text{ap} \lim_{x \rightarrow g} f(x)$  if the density of set  $E \setminus f^{-1}(W)$  at  $g$  equals zero for every neighborhood  $W$  of the point  $y$ .

In the case  $\mathbb{M} = \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  we also define the *approximate upper limit* of the function  $f$  at the point  $g \in E$ , denoted by  $\text{ap} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} f(x)$ , as the greatest lower bound of the set of all numbers  $s$  for which the density of the set  $\{z \in \mathcal{M} : f(z) > s\}$  at the point  $g$  equals zero. By definition,  $\text{ap} \underline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} f(x) = -\text{ap} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} (-f(x))$  is the *approximate lower limit*. It is easy to verify that  $\text{ap} \underline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} f(x) \leq \text{ap} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} f(x)$  and that  $\text{ap} \lim_{x \rightarrow g} f(x)$  exists if and only if  $\text{ap} \underline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} f(x) = \text{ap} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} f(x)$ .

Next we state several properties regarding measurability and approximate limit which we need in further arguments.

**Property 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be a  $\mathcal{H}^\nu \times \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{\nu}}$ -measurable set in  $\mathcal{M} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $z_0$  be a fixed point in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  define  $T$  as a set of the points  $x$  for which*

$$\mathcal{H}^{\tilde{\nu}}\{z : (x, z) \in S, \widetilde{d}_{cc}(z_0, z) \leq r\} \leq \varepsilon r^{\tilde{\nu}} \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < \delta.$$

*Then the set  $T$  is measurable.*

Really, for any  $r > 0$ , a set

$$S_r = S \cap \{(x, z) : \widetilde{d}_{cc}(z_0, z) \leq r\} = S \cap (\mathcal{M} \times \overline{\widetilde{B}_{cc}(z_0, r)})$$

is  $\mathcal{H}^\nu \times \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{\nu}}$ -measurable. By Tonelli–Fubini theorem the set  $\{z : (x, z) \in S_r\}$  is  $\mathcal{H}^{\tilde{\nu}}$ -measurable for  $\mathcal{H}^\nu$ -almost all  $x$  and

$$\iint_{\mathcal{M} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \chi_{S_r}(x, z) dx dz = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \chi_{S_r}(x, z) dz dx = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{\nu}}\{z : (x, z) \in S_r\} dx.$$

Consequently, the mapping

$$\varphi : x \mapsto \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \chi_{S_r}(x, z) dz = \mathcal{H}^{\bar{\nu}}\{z : (x, z) \in S_r\}$$

is  $\mathcal{H}^\nu$ -measurable. Then we have

$$T = \bigcap_{r \in (0, \delta) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{x : \varphi(x) \leq \varepsilon r^\nu\},$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}$  denotes the set of rational numbers. It remains only to note that every set  $\{x : \varphi(x) \leq \varepsilon r^\nu\}$  is  $\mathcal{H}^\nu$ -measurable.

**Property 2.4.** *If  $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is  $\mathcal{H}^\nu \times \mathcal{H}^{\bar{\nu}}$ -measurable real-valued mapping and  $z_0$  is a point in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  then*

$$\text{ap } \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0} \sigma(x, z) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ap } \underline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0} \sigma(x, z)$$

are  $\mathcal{H}^\nu$ -measurable mappings of argument  $x$ .

First, notice that

$$\{x \in \mathcal{M} : \text{ap } \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0} \sigma(x, z) \leq \tau\} = \bigcap_{t > \tau} A_t = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\tau + \frac{1}{n}},$$

where  $A_t$  is a set of the points  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  for which the set  $\{z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} : \sigma(x, z) > t\}$  has the density zero at  $z_0$ . We have to make sure that  $A_t$  is measurable. In order to do this we apply Property 2.3 to the set

$$S_t = \{(x, z) \in \mathcal{M} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} : \sigma(x, z) > t\}$$

and derive that the set  $T_t(m, k)$  of the points  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  for which

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu\{z : (x, z) \in S_t, \widetilde{d}_{cc}(z_0, z) \leq r\} \leq \frac{r^\nu}{m} \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < k^{-1},$$

is measurable for all positive integers  $m$  and  $k$ . It remains only to observe that

$$A_t = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} T_t(m, k).$$

### 2.3 Differentiability in the sub-Riemannian geometry

Fix  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a limit point  $s \in E$ . The mapping  $\gamma : E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  has *sub-Riemannian derivative* at the point  $s$  if there is an element  $a \in \mathcal{G}^{\gamma(s)}$  such that

$$d_{cc}^{\gamma(s)}(\gamma(s+t), \delta_t^{\gamma(s)} a) = o(t) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0, s+t \in E. \quad (2.1)$$

We use the notation  $a = \frac{d}{dt}_{sub} \gamma(t+s)|_{t=0}$ . A derivative is called *horizontal* in the case  $a \in \exp(H_{\gamma(s)} \mathcal{M})$ , i. e.

$$a = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} \alpha_j \widehat{X}_j^{\gamma(s)}\right)(\gamma(s)) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} \alpha_j X_j\right)(\gamma(s))$$

for certain  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In [V5] it is proved that for a curve in the Carnot–Carathéodory space to be horizontally differentiable it is sufficient to be a Lipschitz mapping. Recall that  $\gamma : E \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a *Lipschitz* mapping if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that the inequality

$$d_{cc}(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \leq C|x - y|$$

holds for all  $x, y \in E$ .

**Theorem 2.5** ([V5]). *Every Lipschitz mapping  $\gamma : E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , where the set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed, has horizontal derivative almost everywhere in  $E$ .*

The mapping  $f : E \subset \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  of two Carnot–Carathéodory spaces is called [VG] differentiable at the point  $g \in E$  if there is horizontal homomorphism  $L : \mathcal{G}^g \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$  of the local Carnot groups such that

$$\widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L(v)) = o(d_{cc}^g(g, v)) \text{ as } E \cap \mathcal{G}^g \ni v \rightarrow g. \quad (2.2)$$

Recall that the *horizontal homomorphism* of Carnot groups is a homomorphism  $L : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$  such that  $DL(0)(H\mathbb{G}) \subset H\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ .

Local approximation theorem (Theorem 1.16) gives an opportunity to use both metrics of the initial space and of local Carnot group in the definition (2.2). Indeed, since

$$\widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), f(v)) \leq \widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), L(v)) + \widetilde{d}_{cc}(L(v), f(v)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(v), L(v)) &= \widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L(v)) + o(\widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), f(v))) + o(\widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), L(v))) \\ &= \widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L(v)) + o(\widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L(v))) + o(\widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), L(v))) \\ &= o(d_{cc}^g(g, v)) + o\left(d_{cc}^g(v, g) \sup_{u: d_{cc}^g(u, g)=1} \widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), L(u))\right) \\ &= o(d_{cc}^g(g, v)) = o(d_{cc}(g, v)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The homomorphism  $L : \mathcal{G}^g \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$  satisfying (2.2) is called *the differential* of the mapping  $f$  and is denoted by  $D_g f$ . One can show that if  $g$  is the density point then the differential is unique. Moreover, it is easy to verify that differential commutes with the one-parameter dilation group:

$$\tilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} \circ D_g f = D_g f \circ \delta_t^g. \quad (2.4)$$

If  $v \in \mathcal{G}^g$  and  $\delta_t^g v \in \mathcal{G}^g$  then, by (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\delta_t^g v), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} D_g f(v)) &= \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\delta_t^g v), D_g f(\delta_t^g v)) \\ &= o(d_{cc}^g(g, \delta_t^g v)) = d_{cc}^g(g, v) o(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

i. e. element  $D_g f(v)$  is a derivative of the curve  $\gamma(t) = f(\delta_t^g v)$  at  $t = 0$ .

By *the derivative* of the mapping  $f$  along the horizontal vector field  $X$  at the point  $g$  we mean the derivative of the curve

$$\gamma(t) = f(\delta_t^g \exp \hat{X}^g(g)) = f(\exp tX(g))$$

for  $t = 0$ . We use the notation  $Xf(g)$  to denote this derivative. To be more precise we have to write  $\widetilde{\exp} Xf(g)$  since usually  $Xf(g)$  is the Riemannian derivative  $\frac{d}{dt} f(\exp(tX)(g))|_{t=0}$ . To simplify notations we will use  $Xf(g)$  for the sub-Riemannian derivative except of the cases when the opposite is stated explicitly.

The mapping  $f : E \subset \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  of two Carnot–Carathéodory spaces is called a *Lipschitz mapping* if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that the inequality

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(y)) \leq C d_{cc}(x, y)$$

holds for all  $x, y \in E$ .

In the work [V5] there were generalized the classical Rademacher [R] and Stepanoff [S1] theorems to the case of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces.

**Theorem 2.6** ([V5, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $E$  be a set in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a Lipschitz mapping. Then  $f$  is differentiable almost everywhere in  $E$  and the differential is unique.*

**Theorem 2.7** ([V5, Theorem 5.1]). *Let  $E$  be a set in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let a mapping  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  satisfy the condition*

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow a, x \in E} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(a), f(x))}{d_{cc}(a, x)} < \infty$$

*for almost all  $a \in E$ . Then  $f$  is differentiable almost everywhere in  $E$  and the differential is unique.*

Here we will write an alternative proof of Theorems 2.6 and 2.7 using the theorem on approximate differentiability.

## 2.4 Approximate differentiability

Now we replace a regular limit in (2.1) by the approximate one. This leads us to definition of an approximate (horizontal) derivative as an element  $a \in \exp H\mathcal{G}^{\gamma(s)}$  such that

$$\text{ap} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d_{cc}^{\gamma(s)}(\gamma(s+t), \delta_t^{\gamma(s)} a)}{|t|} = 0,$$

i. e. the set

$$\{t \in (-r, r) : d_{cc}^{\gamma(s)}(\gamma(s+t), \delta_t^{\gamma(s)} a) > |t|\varepsilon\}$$

has density zero at the point  $t = 0$  for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Similarly an approximate differential is the horizontal homomorphism  $L : \mathcal{G}^g \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$  of the local Carnot groups such that

$$\text{ap} \lim_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L(v))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} = 0,$$

i. e. a set

$$\{v \in B_{cc}(g, r) \cap \mathcal{G}^g : \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L(v)) > d_{cc}^g(g, v)\varepsilon\}$$

has  $\mathcal{H}^\nu$ -density zero at the point  $v = g$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We denote such homomorphism as  $\text{ap} D_g f$ .

Using the notion of an approximate differential we can generalize Theorem 2.7 in the following direction.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be a set in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  meet the condition*

$$\text{ap} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), f(x))}{d_{cc}(g, x)} < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

*Then  $f$  is approximately differentiable almost everywhere in  $E$ .*

For proving Theorem 2.8 we need the following statement.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $E$  be a measurable subset in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a measurable mapping enjoying (2.6) for all points  $g \in E$ . Then there is a sequence of disjoint sets  $E_0, E_1, \dots$ , such that  $E = E_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E_0) = 0$  and every restriction  $f|_{E_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , is a Lipschitz mapping.*

*Proof.* Since our considerations are local, we limit our arguments to the case when  $E \subset U$  where  $U$  is an open subset in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider a sequence of sets

$$U_m = \{x \in U : d_{cc}(x, \partial U) \geq 2m^{-1}\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Each  $U_m$  is closed and  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} U_m = U$ . For all distinct points  $u$  and  $v$  of  $U$  the relation

$$h(u, v) = \frac{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(u, d_{cc}(u, v)) \cap B_{cc}(v, d_{cc}(u, v)))}{d_{cc}(u, v)^\nu}, \quad u \neq v,$$

is a continuous real-valued function. For every  $m$  define a constant

$$\gamma_m = \inf\{h(u, v) : u, v \in U_m, d_{cc}(u, v) \leq m^{-1}\}.$$

Let  $d_{cc}(u, v) = l$ . By definition of  $d_{cc}$  for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists piecewise smooth path  $\gamma : [0, l + \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\gamma(0) = u$ ,  $\gamma(l + \varepsilon) = v$  and  $|\dot{\gamma}| \leq 1$ . Let  $w = \gamma(\frac{l+\varepsilon}{2})$ . Then  $d_{cc}(u, w) \leq \frac{l+\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $d_{cc}(v, w) \leq \frac{l+\varepsilon}{2}$ . Consequently,  $B_{cc}(w, \frac{l-\varepsilon}{2}) \subset B_{cc}(u, l)$  and  $B_{cc}(w, \frac{l-\varepsilon}{2}) \subset B_{cc}(v, l)$ . Hence,

$$h(u, v) \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(w, \frac{l-\varepsilon}{2}))}{l^\nu} \geq \frac{C_1(\frac{l-\varepsilon}{2})^\nu}{l^\nu} > 0,$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant from Ball–Box theorem. Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we infer  $\gamma_m \geq C_1 2^{-\nu} > 0$ .

For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $E^m$  be a set of all density points of  $E \cap (U_m \setminus U_{m-1})$  (assuming  $U_0 = \emptyset$ ). The sequence of  $E^m$  is a disjoint family and  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m) = 0$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u \in E$ ,  $0 < r < m^{-1}$  define

$$Q_k^m(u, r) = B_{cc}(u, r) \cap \{x : x \notin E^m \text{ or } \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(u)) > k d_{cc}(x, u)\}$$

and also define

$$B_k^m = E \cap \left\{u : \mathcal{H}^\nu(Q_k^m(u, r)) < \gamma_m \frac{r^\nu}{2} \text{ for all } 0 < r < \min\{k^{-1}, m^{-1}\}\right\}.$$

By Property 2.3 all  $B_k^m$  are measurable and  $E^m = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k^m$ . Next, if  $u, v \in B_k^m$  and  $r = d_{cc}(u, v) < \min\{k^{-1}, m^{-1}\}$  we have

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(Q_k^m(u, r) \cup Q_k^m(v, r)) < \gamma_m r^\nu \leq \mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(u, r) \cap B_{cc}(v, r)).$$



Hence we can choose a point

$$x \in (B_{cc}(u, r) \cap B_{cc}(v, r)) \setminus (Q_k^m(u, r) \cup Q_k^m(v, r)).$$

For that point

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(u), f(v)) &\leq \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(u), f(x)) + \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(v)) \\ &\leq kd_{cc}(u, x) + kd_{cc}(x, v) \leq 2kr = 2kd_{cc}(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, representing  $B_k^m$  as union of countable family of measurable sets  $B_{k,j}^m$ , whose diameters are less than  $\min\{k^{-1}, m^{-1}\}$ , we see that every restriction  $f|_{B_{k,j}^m}$  is a Lipschitz mapping.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.8.* By Theorem 2.9 the domain of  $f$  is an union of countable family of disjoint sets  $E_i$  such that every  $f|_{E_i}$  is a Lipschitz mapping (up to the set of measure 0). By Theorem 2.6 every  $f|_{E_i}$  is differentiable almost everywhere in  $E_i$ . For the density points of  $E_i$  this is equivalent to approximate differentiability in  $E$ .  $\square$

### 3 Theorem on approximate differentiability

Now we have all necessary tools for formulating and proving the main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a measurable subset of the Carnot–Carathéodory space  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a measurable mapping. The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) *The mapping  $f$  is approximately differentiable almost everywhere in  $E$ .*
- 2) *The mapping  $f$  has approximate derivatives  $\text{ap } X_j f$  along the basic horizontal vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{\dim H_1}$  almost everywhere in  $E$ .*
- 3) *There is a sequence of disjoint sets  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i) = 0$  and every restriction  $f|_{Q_i}$  is a Lipschitz mapping.*

*Proof of the implication 1)  $\Rightarrow$  3).* Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  be a density point of  $E$  and Let  $f$  be approximately differentiable in  $g$ . Fix a point  $v$  in a set

$$C_\varepsilon(g) = \{v \in B_{cc}(g, r_g) \cap \mathcal{G}^g : \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(v), \text{ap } D_g f(v)) < \varepsilon d_{cc}(g, v)\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

By Theorem 1.16 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), \text{ap } D_g f(v)) &\leq \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(v), \text{ap } D_g f(v)) [1 + o(1)] \\ &< d_{cc}(v, g) [\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)] = d_{cc}^g(v, g) [\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)]. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of an approximate differential it follows that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu$ -density of the set  $B_{cc}(g, r_g) \setminus C_\varepsilon(g)$  equals zero for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In other words

$$\operatorname{ap} \lim_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(v), D_g f(v))}{d_{cc}(g, v)} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), f(v))}{d_{cc}(g, v)} \\ & \leq \operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), D_g f(v))}{d_{cc}(g, v)} + \operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(D_g f(v), f(v))}{d_{cc}(g, v)} \\ & = \overline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), D_g f(v))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} + 0 \\ & \leq \overline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), D_g f(v))[1 + o(1)]}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} \\ & = [1 + o(1)] \sup_{v: d_{cc}^g(v, g)=1} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), D_g f(v)) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for almost all  $g \in E$ . Hence, the conditions of Theorem 2.9 are fulfilled.  $\square$

The implication 3)  $\Rightarrow$  2) is proved as Corollary 3.4 in the next subsection.

The implication 2)  $\Rightarrow$  1) is a direct corollary of the following crucial

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a measurable mapping of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces. Then*

*$A_j = \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{ap} X_j f$  is a measurable set,*

*$\operatorname{ap} X_j f : A_j \rightarrow \widetilde{\exp}(H\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$  is a measurable mapping in  $A_j$ ,*

*for all  $j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ , and  $f$  is approximately differentiable almost everywhere on the set  $A = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} A_j$ . Moreover, if  $g \in A$  is a point of an approximate differentiability of the mapping  $f$  and in the neighborhood of  $g$  we have representation from Theorem 1.14*

$$v = \exp(a_L X_{j_L}) \circ \dots \circ \exp(a_1 X_{j_1})(g)$$

*where  $1 \leq j_i \leq \dim H_1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

$$\operatorname{ap} D_g f(v) = \prod_{i=1}^L \delta_{a_i}^{f(g)} \operatorname{ap} X_{j_i} f(g) \in \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}.$$

We follow the proof in [V3] where the similar result was established for Carnot groups (which in turn was inspired by the proof [F] of the similar theorem for mappings of Euclidean spaces). The essential steps of the proof are carried out in separate lemmas which are proved below and the proof of the theorem itself is located in the subsection 3.3 just after proofs of lemmas.

### 3.1 Approximate derivatives

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a measurable set and  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a measurable mapping. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} A_j &= \{x \in E : \operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(\exp tX_j(x)))}{|t|} < \infty\} \text{ is measurable;} \\ \operatorname{ap} X_j f : A_j &\rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \text{ is defined almost everywhere and is measurable;} \\ \operatorname{ap} X_j f(g) &\in \widetilde{\exp}(H_g \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \text{ for almost all } g \in A_j \end{aligned}$$

for every  $j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, \dim H_1\}$ . A mapping

$$t \mapsto |t|^{-1} \widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(\exp tX_j(x)))$$

is measurable and by Property 2.4 the set  $A_j$  is measurable. For every  $x \in E$  define  $A_x$  as a set of real numbers  $t$  such that  $\exp tX_j(x) \in A_j$ . In the case  $A_x \neq \emptyset$  define also the mapping  $h : A_x \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  by the rule  $h(t) = f(\exp tX_j(x))$ .

If  $y = \exp tX_j(x)$ ,  $t \in A_x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widetilde{d}_{cc}(h(t), h(t + \tau))}{|\tau|} \\ &= \operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(\exp tX_j(x)), f(\exp(t + \tau)X_j(x)))}{|\tau|} \\ &= \operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(\exp tX_j(x)), f(\exp \tau X_j(\exp tX_j(x))))}{|\tau|} \\ &= \operatorname{ap} \overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(y), f(\exp \tau X_j(y)))}{|\tau|} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $h$  meets the conditions of Theorem 2.9. Therefore,  $A_x = B_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_0) = 0$ , all  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \infty$ , are measurable and restriction of  $h$

on every  $B_i$  is a Lipschitz mapping. If  $h : B_i \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is one of these restrictions then by Theorem 2.5 the sub-Riemannian derivative

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\tau}_{sub} h(t + \tau) \right|_{\substack{\tau=0 \\ t+\tau \in B_i}} \in \widetilde{\exp} H_{h(t)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$$

exists for almost all  $t$ . If  $t$  is a density point for the set  $B_i$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{d\tau}_{sub} h(t + \tau) \right|_{\substack{\tau=0 \\ t+\tau \in B_i}} &= \text{ap} \left. \frac{d}{d\tau}_{sub} h(t + \tau) \right|_{\tau=0} \\ &= \text{ap} \left. \frac{d}{d\tau}_{sub} f(\exp \tau X_j(y)) \right|_{\tau=0} = \text{ap} X_j f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{ap} X_j f(y)$  exists in  $\{y = \exp t X_j(x) : t \in A_x\}$  for almost all  $t \in A_x$ . This provides existence of the derivative  $\text{ap} X_j f$  almost everywhere in  $A_j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *A Lipschitz mapping  $f$  has approximate derivatives  $\text{ap} X_j f$  along the horizontal vector fields  $X_j$  almost everywhere and  $\text{ap} X_j f(g) \in \widetilde{\exp}(H_g \mathcal{M})$  for almost all  $g \in \text{dom } f$ .*

**Remark 3.5.** Note that if  $\text{ap} X_j f(g)$  defined at  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  then  $\text{ap}(aX_j)f(g)$  is also defined for all real numbers  $a$ . Moreover

$$\text{ap}(aX_j)f(g) = \tilde{\delta}_a^{f(g)} \text{ap} X_j f(g).$$

Let the coordinate system (1.13) be defined in a neighborhood of a point  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . Consider a curve

$$\Gamma_k(g; t) = \Phi_k(t)(g). \quad (3.1)$$

We say that the mapping  $f$  is *approximately differentiable* along the curve  $\Gamma_k(g; t)$  at  $t = 0$  if there is an element  $a \in \mathcal{G}^{f(g)} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{r^{\deg X_k}} \mathcal{H}^{\deg X_k} \left\{ t \in (-r, r) : \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f \circ \Gamma_k(g; t), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} a)}{d_{cc}^g(g, \Gamma_k(g; t))} > \varepsilon \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

We denote this derivative by  $a = \text{ap} d_{sub}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g)$ . If  $k = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ , this definition coincides with the definition of the approximate derivative from Subsection 2.4.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a bounded measurable set and  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a measurable mapping. Let also the coordinate system (1.13) be defined at the neighborhood of a point  $g \in U$  with functions  $\Phi_k$  satisfying (1.12). Then the mapping  $f$  is approximately differentiable along the curve  $\Gamma_k(g; t)$*

defined by (3.1),  $k = \dim H_1 + 1, \dots, N$ , at  $t = 0$  almost everywhere in  $A = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} \text{dom ap } X_j f$ . Moreover, the approximate derivative can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g) &= \text{ap}(s_{L_k} \widehat{X}_{j_{L_k}}^g) f \circ \dots \circ \text{ap}(s_1 \widehat{X}_{j_1}^g) f(g) \\ &= \text{ap}(s_1 \widehat{X}_{j_1}^g) f(g) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{ap}(s_{L_k} \widehat{X}_{j_{L_k}}^g) f(g) \in \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

almost everywhere. Here  $L_k \leq L$  and  $s_i = \pm 1$  are from the representation (1.12). Also the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g)) \\ \leq L_k \max\{\widetilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), \text{ap } X_j f(g)) : j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

holds for all  $k = \dim H_1 + 1, \dots, N$ .

A sketch of the proof:

At the first step we apply Luzin's and Egorov's theorems to a bounded set  $A$  and obtain a set  $A' \subset A$  that differs from  $A$  on a set of a measure small enough and on which the limit  $\text{ap} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{\delta}_{t^{-1}}^{f(g)} f(\exp t X_j)(g)$  converges to  $\text{ap } X_j f(g)$  uniformly.

Next we assure that the set of real numbers  $t$ , for which the relation (3.2) does not hold, is negligible.

At last, we prove that the uniform limit  $\text{ap} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{\delta}_{t^{-1}}^{f(g)} f \circ \Gamma_k(g; t)$  converges to the (3.2) in  $A'$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3 the sets  $A_j = \text{dom ap } X_j f \subset E$  are measurable and the mappings  $\text{ap } X_j f$  are measurable in  $A_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ .

We have  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(A_j) \leq \mathcal{H}^\nu(E) < \infty$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Applying Luzin's theorem we find a closed set  $E' \subset A$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(A \setminus E') < \varepsilon/2$  and all  $\text{ap } X_j f$  are uniformly continuous in  $E'$ .

Consider a sequence of functions  $\{\varphi_n^j : E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined as

$$\varphi_n^j(g) = \sup_{|t| < \frac{1}{n}} \frac{\widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\exp(t X_j)(g)), \widetilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} \text{ap } X_j f(g))}{|t|}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1.$$

Since  $\varphi_n^j(g) \xrightarrow{\text{ap}} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by Egorov's theorem we obtain a measurable set  $E'' \subset E'$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E' \setminus E'') < \varepsilon/2$  and  $\varphi_n^j(g) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on  $E''$ . Therefore, the limits

$$\text{ap} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widetilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\exp(t X_j)(g)), \widetilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} \text{ap } X_j f(g))}{|t|} = 0$$

converge uniformly on  $E''$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ .

For every positive integer  $m$  and for all  $x \in E$ ,  $r > 0$  define a set

$$T_j^m(x, r) = \left\{ t \in (-r, r) : \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)}(f(\exp tX_j(x)), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} \text{ ap } X_j f(x)) > \frac{|t|}{m} \right\}.$$

For all positive integers  $p$  we introduce

$$B_j^m(p) = A_j \cap \left\{ x \in E : \mathcal{H}^1[T_j^m(x, r)] \leq \frac{r}{m} \text{ for all } 0 < r < p^{-1} \right\}.$$

By Property 2.3 the sets  $B_j^m(p)$  are measurable for all  $j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ . We have also  $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} B_j^m(p) = A_j$ .

Moreover,  $B_j^m(p) \subset B_j^m(p+1)$ . Hence, we can choose a sequence of numbers  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E'' \setminus B_j^m(p_m)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m}$  holds. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(E'' \setminus F) < \varepsilon \cdot \dim H_1, \quad \text{where } F = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} B_j^m(p_m).$$

Next, for all  $x \in F$ ,  $r > 0$  define a set

$$Z_j(x, r) = \{y = \exp tX_j(x) : |t| < r \text{ and } y \notin F\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1.$$

For all positive integers  $m$  and  $q$  define the sets

$$C_j^m(q) = F \cap \left\{ x \in E : \mathcal{H}^1[Z_j(x, r)] \leq \frac{r}{2^m} \text{ for all } 0 < r < q^{-1} \right\}.$$

By Property 2.3 all  $C_j^m(q)$  are measurable. Also  $\mathcal{H}^\nu\left(F \setminus \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} C_j^m(q)\right) = 0$ .

Moreover,  $C_j^m(q) \subset C_j^m(q+1)$ . Hence, we can choose a sequence of numbers  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(F \setminus C_j^m(q_m)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m}$  holds. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(F \setminus F_1) < m\varepsilon, \quad \text{where } F_1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_j^n(q_n).$$

Next, we prove that the function  $f$  is approximately differentiable along the curve  $\Gamma_k(g; t)$  uniformly in  $F_1$  and the mapping  $g \mapsto \text{ap} \frac{d}{dt}_{\text{sub}} f(\Gamma_k(g; t))|_{t=0}$  is uniformly continuous in  $F_1$ .

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < r < \min\{p_m^{-1}, q_m^{-1}\}$  and a density point  $g \in F_1$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t) &= \exp(ts_1 X_{j_1})(g), \\ u_i(t) &= \exp(ts_i X_{j_i})(u_{i-1}(t)), \quad i = 2, \dots, L_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $u_{L_k}(t) = \Gamma_k(g; t)$ . Define the set  $S^m \subset (-r, r)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t \in S^m, \text{ if } & s_1 t \in T_{j_1}^m(g, r), \\ & \text{or } s_i t \in T_{j_i}^m(u_{i-1}(t), r), \\ & \text{or } u_1(t) \in Z_{j_1}(g, r), \\ & \text{or } u_i(t) \in Z_{j_i}(u_{i-1}(t), r), \quad i = 2, \dots, L_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}^1[T_{j_1}^m(g, r)] \leq \frac{r}{m}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^1[Z_{j_1}(g, r)] \leq \frac{r}{m}$  and since  $\mathcal{H}^1[T_{j_i}^m(u_{i-1}(t), r)] \leq \frac{r}{m}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^1[Z_{j_i}(u_{i-1}(t), r)] \leq \frac{r}{m}$  if  $u_{i-1}(t) \in F_1$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, L_k$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}^1(S^m) \leq 2L_k \frac{r}{m}.$$

Now we estimate  $\mathcal{H}^{\deg X_k}$ -measure of the set  $S^m$ . Fix arbitrary numbers

$$\delta > 0 \text{ and } \Lambda > 2L_k \frac{r}{m}. \quad (3.4)$$

Cover the set  $S^m$  with a countable family of intervals  $(a_\xi, b_\xi)$  so that

$$b_\xi - a_\xi < \delta, \quad \sum_{\xi} (b_\xi - a_\xi) < \Lambda.$$

Then

$$|b_\xi - a_\xi|^{\deg X_k} < \delta(2r)^{\deg X_k - 1}, \quad \sum_{\xi} |b_\xi - a_\xi|^{\deg X_k} < \Lambda(2r)^{\deg X_k - 1}.$$

Since  $\delta$  and  $\Lambda$  are arbitrary numbers of (3.4), we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{\deg X_k}(S^m) \leq 2^{\deg X_k} L_k \frac{r^{\deg X_k}}{m}.$$

Now we show that the expression (3.2) is really the derivative of the composition  $f \circ \Gamma_k$ . For the points  $u, v \in F_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\exp(ts_i X_i)(v)), \text{ap}(ts_i X_i)f(v)) &\leq \varphi_i(t), \\ \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(\text{ap}(ts_i X_i)f(u), \text{ap}(ts_i X_i)f(v)) &\leq t\omega_i(d_{cc}(u, v)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{\varphi_i(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $v \in F_1$  and  $\omega_i(t)$  are moduli of continuity of the mappings  $\text{ap}(s_i X_i)f(\cdot)$  in  $F_1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ .

If  $|t| < r$  and  $t \notin S^m$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f \circ u_1(t), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} \text{ap}(s_1 X_1) f(g)) \\ &= \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f \circ \exp(ts_1 X_1)(g), \text{ap}(ts_1 X_1) f(g)) \\ &\leq \varphi_1(t) = C_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Further, by induction:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}\left(f \circ u_j(t), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} \prod_{i=1}^j \text{ap}(s_i X_i) f(g)\right) \\ &= \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}\left(f \circ \exp(ts_j X_j)(u_{j-1}(t)), \text{ap}(ts_j X_j) f \circ \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \text{ap}(ts_i X_i) f(g)\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f \circ \exp(ts_j X_j)(u_{j-1}(t)), \text{ap}(ts_j X_j) f(u_{j-1}(t))) \\ &\quad + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}\left(\text{ap}(ts_j X_j) f(u_{j-1}(t)), \text{ap}(ts_j X_j) f \circ \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \text{ap}(ts_i X_i) f(g)\right) \\ &\leq \varphi_j(t) + t\omega_j(C_{j-1}(t)) = C_j(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{C_j(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $g \in F_1$ .

Therefore, for  $t \in (-r, r) \setminus S^m$  we have an evaluation

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}\left(f(\Gamma_k(g; t)), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(g)} \prod_{i=1}^{L_k} \text{ap}(s_i X_i) f(g)\right) = o(t),$$

i. e. the equality

$$\text{ap} \frac{d}{dt}_{\text{sub}} f(\Gamma_k(g; t)) = \prod_{i=1}^{L_k} \text{ap}(s_i X_i) f(g)$$

holds for  $g \in F_1$ . Since  $r, m, \varepsilon$  are arbitrary the latter takes place almost everywhere in  $E$ . The inequality (3.3) follows from (3.2) and the generalized triangle inequality.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** Consider in the previous lemma the curve  $\Gamma'_k(g; t) = \Gamma_k(g; \lambda t)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , instead of  $\Gamma_k(g; t)$ . The following representation takes place

$$\Gamma'_k(g; t) = \exp(\lambda t s_{L_k} X_{j_{L_k}}) \circ \cdots \circ \exp(\lambda t s_1 X_{j_1})(g),$$

where  $s_i = \pm 1$ ,  $1 \leq j_i \leq \dim H_1$ . Then if there is  $\text{ap} d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g)$  defined at the point  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  the derivative  $\text{ap} d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma'_k)(g)$  is also defined and we



have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma'_k)(g) &= \prod_{i=1}^{L_k} \text{ap}(\lambda s_i X_i) f(g) \\ &= \tilde{\delta}_\lambda^{f(g)} \prod_{i=1}^{L_k} \text{ap}(s_i X_i) f(g) = \tilde{\delta}_\lambda^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

### 3.2 Construction and properties of a mapping of local groups

Consider the system of the coordinates of the second kind (1.9) in a neighborhood  $V(g) \subset \mathcal{G}^g$  of  $g$ . Define a mapping  $L_g : V(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$  as follows:

$$L_g : \hat{v} = \hat{\Phi}_g(t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto \prod_{k=1}^N \tilde{\delta}_{t_k}^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g). \quad (3.6)$$

Declare some properties of this mapping.

**Property 3.8.** *The mapping  $L_g$  is continuous.*

It follows directly from (3.6).

**Property 3.9.**  $\tilde{\delta}_\lambda^{f(g)} \circ L_g = L_g \circ \delta_\lambda^g$ .

Really, for  $\hat{v} = \hat{\Phi}_g(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda^g \hat{v} &= \delta_\lambda^g \hat{\Phi}_g(t_1, \dots, t_N) \\ &= \delta_\lambda^g \hat{\Phi}_N(t_N) \circ \dots \circ \hat{\Phi}_{\dim H_1+1}(t_{\dim H_1+1}) \\ &\quad \circ \exp(t_{\dim H_1} \hat{X}_{\dim H_1}^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(t_1 \hat{X}_1^g)(g) \\ &= \hat{\Phi}_N(\lambda t_N) \circ \dots \circ \hat{\Phi}_{\dim H_1+1}(\lambda t_{\dim H_1+1}) \\ &\quad \circ \exp(\lambda t_{\dim H_1} \hat{X}_{\dim H_1}^g) \circ \dots \circ \exp(\lambda t_1 \hat{X}_1^g)(g) \\ &= \hat{\Phi}_g(\lambda t_1, \dots, \lambda t_N). \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking into account (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_g(\delta_\lambda^g \hat{v}) &= \prod_{k=1}^N \tilde{\delta}_{\lambda t_k}^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g) \\ &= \tilde{\delta}_\lambda^{f(g)} \prod_{k=1}^N \tilde{\delta}_{t_k}^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g) = \tilde{\delta}_\lambda^{f(g)} L_g(\hat{v}). \end{aligned}$$

**Property 3.10.** *The mapping  $L_g$  is bounded.*

By Property 3.9 the mapping  $L_g$  is homogeneous, so

$$\|L_g\| = \sup_{v \neq g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(g), L_g(v))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} = \sup_{d_{cc}^g(g, v)=1} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(g), L_g(v)).$$

The latter is finite because of continuity of  $L_g$ .

**Property 3.11.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{G}^g$  be such that  $d_{cc}^g(u, v) = o(d_{cc}^g(g, u))$  as  $u \rightarrow g$ . Then*

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(u), L_g(v)) = o(d_{cc}^g(g, u)).$$

Let  $\omega(t)$  be a modulus of continuity of the mapping  $L_g : B_{cc}(g, 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$ . Then if we define  $r = \max\{d_{cc}^g(g, u), d_{cc}^g(g, v)\}$  by Property 3.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(u), L_g(v)) &= O(r) \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(\delta_{r^{-1}}^g u), L_g(\delta_{r^{-1}}^g v)) \\ &\leq O(r) \omega\left(\frac{d_{cc}^g(u, v)}{r}\right) = r o(1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a bounded measurable set and let  $f : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be a measurable mapping. Let the coordinate system of the 2nd kind (1.13) be defined in a neighborhood of  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then the mapping  $f$  is approximately differentiable along the curves  $\Gamma_k(g; t)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , almost everywhere in*

$$A = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} \text{dom ap } X_j f \text{ and the equality}$$

$$\text{ap} \lim_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L_g(v))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

holds for almost all  $g \in A$ , where  $L_g$  is the mapping defined by the formula (3.6).

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3 all sets  $A_j = \text{dom ap } X_j f$  are measurable and by Lemma 3.6  $f$  is approximately differentiable along the curves  $\Gamma_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , almost everywhere in  $A$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Luzin's theorem there is a measurable set  $E' \subset A$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(A \setminus E') < \varepsilon/2$  and the mappings  $E' \ni x \mapsto \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(x)$  are uniformly continuous for all  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .

Consider a sequence of functions  $\{\varphi_n^k : E' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined as

$$\varphi_n^k(g) = \sup_{|t| < \frac{1}{n}} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\Gamma_k(v; t)), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(v)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(v))}{|t|}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.$$

We have  $\varphi_n^k(g) \xrightarrow{ap} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $E'$ . By Egorov's theorem there is  $E'' \subset E'$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E' \setminus E'') < \varepsilon/2$  and  $\varphi_n^k(g) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on  $E''$ .

For every positive integer  $m$  and for all  $x \in E$ ,  $r > 0$  we define the set

$$T_k^m(x, r) = \left\{ t \in (-r, r) : \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)}(f(\Gamma_k(x; t)), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(x)} \text{ ap } d_{sub}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(x)) > \frac{|t|}{m} \right\}.$$

For all positive integers  $p$ , we define the set

$$B_k^m(p) = A \cap \left\{ x \in E : \mathcal{H}^{\deg X_k}[T_k^m(x, r)] \leq \frac{r^{\deg X_k}}{m} \text{ for all } r \in (0, p^{-1}) \right\}.$$

In the case  $k > 1$  we also define  $Z_k^m(x, r; p)$ , as the set of the points  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $z \in B(0, r)$  and  $\Phi_x(z) \notin B_k^m(p)$ . Finally, for every positive integer  $q$ , we define the set

$$C_k^m(p, q) = B_k^m \cap \left\{ x \in E : \mathcal{H}^{h_{k-1}}[Z_k^m(x, r; p)] \leq \frac{r^{h_{k-1}}}{m} \text{ for all } r \in (0, q^{-1}) \right\}$$

where  $h_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \deg X_i$ .

By Property 2.3, the sets  $B_k^m(p)$ ,  $C_k^m(p, q)$  are measurable and

$$A = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} B_k^m(p),$$

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu \left( B_k^m(p) \setminus \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} C_k^m(p, q) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, N; m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover,  $B_k^m(p) \subset B_k^m(p+1)$ ,  $C_k^m(p, q) \subset C_k^m(p, q+1)$ . Hence, we can choose sequences of numbers  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  and  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(E'' \setminus B_k^m(p_m)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m},$$

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(E'' \cap B_k^m(p_m) \setminus C_k^m(p_m, q_m)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m}$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, N$  and for every  $m$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(E'' \setminus F) < 2N\varepsilon \quad \text{where } F = \bigcap_{k=1}^N \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} C_k^m(p_m, q_m).$$

Next we show that the limit (3.7) converges uniformly in  $F$ . Really, we have uniform estimates:

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\Gamma_k(v; t)), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(v)} \text{ ap } d_{sub}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(v)) \leq \varphi_k(t),$$

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(\tilde{\delta}_t^{f(u)} \text{ ap } d_{sub}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(u), \tilde{\delta}_t^{f(v)} \text{ ap } d_{sub}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(v)) \leq t\omega_k(d_{cc}(u, v))$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $u, v \in F$ , where  $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $v \in F$ ,  $\omega_k(\cdot)$  are moduli of the continuity of the mappings  $\text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)$ .

Fix a density point  $g \in F$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < r < \min\{p_m^{-1}, q_m^{-1}\}$ . For every  $k = 1, \dots, N$  define  $S_k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  as the set of the points  $(t_1, \dots, t_N) \in B(0, r)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{either } k > 1 \text{ and } (t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}) \in Z_k^m(g, r; p_m), \\ & \text{or } t_k \in T_k^m(\Phi_g(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0), r). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}^{h_{k-1}}[Z_k^m(g, r; p_m)] \leq \frac{r^{h_{k-1}}}{m}$  and since  $\mathcal{H}^{\deg X_k}[T_k^m(x, r)] \leq \frac{r^{\deg X_k}}{m}$  if  $x = \Phi_g(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in B_k^m(p_m)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(S_k) \leq C_1 \frac{r^{h_{k-1}}}{m} r^{\nu-h_{k-1}} + C_2 \frac{r^{\deg X_k}}{m} r^{\nu-\deg X_k} \leq C_3 \frac{r^\nu}{m}.$$

If we use the notation  $W = \bigcup_{k=1}^N S_k$  then  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(W) \leq C_4 \frac{r^\nu}{m}$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \Gamma_1(g; t_1), \\ u_k &= \Gamma_k(u_{k-1}; t_k) \quad \text{for all } k = 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $v \in F \setminus W$  and  $u_N(t) \in F \setminus W$ , we have

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(\Gamma_1(g; t_1)), \tilde{\delta}_{t_1}^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_1)(g)) \leq \varphi_1(t_1) = C_1(|t_1|),$$

and then, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}\left(f(u_k), \prod_{l=1}^k \tilde{\delta}_{t_l}^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_l)(g)\right) \\ & \leq \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}\left(f(\Gamma_k(u_{k-1}; t_k)), \tilde{\delta}_{t_k}^{f(u_{k-1})} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(u_{k-1})\right) \\ & \quad + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}\left(\tilde{\delta}_{t_k}^{f(u_{k-1})} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(u_{k-1}), \prod_{l=1}^k \tilde{\delta}_{t_l}^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_l)(g)\right) \\ & \leq \varphi_k(t_k) + |t_k| \omega_k(C_{k-1}(|t_1| + \dots + |t_{k-1}|)) = C_k(|t_1| + \dots + |t_k|), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\max\{|t_1|, \dots, |t_k|\}^{-1} C_k(|t_1| + \dots + |t_k|) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $g \in F$ .

Denoting  $\hat{v} = \hat{\Phi}_g(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  we finally obtain

$$\text{ap } \lim_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L_g(\hat{v}))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} = 0.$$

If  $v = \Phi_g(t_1, \dots, t_N) \in F \cap \mathcal{G}^g$  then  $d_{cc}^g(v, \hat{v}) = o(d_{cc}^g(g, v))$  as  $v \rightarrow g$  by Theorem 1.7. Hence, using Property 3.11 of the mapping  $L_g$  we have

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L_g(v)) \leq \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L_g(\hat{v})) + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(v), L_g(\hat{v})) = o(d_{cc}^g(g, v))$$

as  $v \rightarrow g$ . Since  $r, m, \varepsilon$  are arbitrary we have

$$\text{ap} \lim_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L_g(v))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} = 0$$

for almost all  $g \in A$ . □

### 3.3 Proof of theorem on approximate differentiability

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a measurable set,  $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a measurable mapping,  $g$  be a density point of  $E$  and let*

$$\text{ap} \lim_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L_g(v))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $L_g : \mathcal{G}^g \cap \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$  enjoys Properties 3.8 – 3.11. If there are  $\eta > 0$ ,  $0 < K < \infty$  such that

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(u), f(v)) < K d_{cc}(u, v)$$

for all  $u, v \in B(g, \eta)$ , then there exists the uniform limit

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v), L_g(v))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega(t)$  be a modulus of continuity of  $L_g : B(g, 2) \cap \mathcal{G}^g \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$ . Then if  $d_{cc}^g(u, v) < d_{cc}^g(g, v) < \eta$ , by Property 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L(u), L(v)) &= d_{cc}^g(g, v) \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L(\delta_{d_{cc}^g(g, v)}^{-1} u), L(\delta_{d_{cc}^g(g, v)}^{-1} v)) \\ &\leq d_{cc}^g(g, v) \omega(d_{cc}^g(\delta_{d_{cc}^g(g, v)}^{-1} u, \delta_{d_{cc}^g(g, v)}^{-1} v)) = d_{cc}^g(g, v) \omega\left(\frac{d_{cc}^g(u, v)}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Fulfillment of the condition (3.8) means there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $0 < r < \delta$  and for the set

$$W = \{z \in E : \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(z), L_g(z)) < \varepsilon d_{cc}^g(g, z)\}$$

we have  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(B(g, r) \setminus W) < r^\nu \varepsilon^\nu$ . If we take  $x \in B(g, \delta(1 - \varepsilon)) \cap E$  and  $r = d_{cc}^g(g, x)/(1 - \varepsilon)$  then  $B(x, r\varepsilon) \subset B(g, r)$ . It follows  $B(x, r\varepsilon) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ ,

hence, we can choose  $z \in B(x, r\varepsilon) \cap E$ . By Theorem 1.8 we have  $d_{cc}(x, z) = o(d_{cc}(g, x)) = o(d_{cc}^g(g, x))$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(x), f(z)) &= \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(z)) + o(\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), f(x))) \\ &= \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(z)) + o(d_{cc}^g(g, x)),\end{aligned}$$

where all  $o(\cdot)$  are uniform. Thus, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(x), f(x)) &\leq \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(x), L_g(z)) + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(z), f(z)) + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(z), f(x)) \\ &\leq d_{cc}^g(g, x) \omega\left(\frac{d_{cc}^g(x, z)}{d_{cc}^g(g, x)}\right) + \varepsilon d_{cc}^g(g, z) + \tilde{d}_{cc}(f(x), f(z)) + o(d_{cc}^g(g, x)) \\ &\leq d_{cc}^g(g, x) \omega(1) + \varepsilon d_{cc}^g(g, x) + \varepsilon d_{cc}^g(x, z) + K d_{cc}(x, z) + o(d_{cc}^g(g, x)) \\ &\leq d_{cc}^g(g, x) (\omega(1) + \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + K + 1)o(1))\end{aligned}$$

where all  $o(\cdot)$  are uniform. □

**Remark 3.14.** If we prove that the mapping  $L_g$  is the approximate differential of  $f$  then from Lemma 3.13 it follows that the Lipschitz mapping is differentiable almost everywhere since the claims of Lemmas 3.3, 3.6, 3.12 and 3.13 hold almost everywhere in  $\text{dom } f$ . This gives us an alternative proof of Theorem 2.7.

Now we have all necessary tools to complete the proof of Theorem 3.2.

*Proof of Theorem 3.2. 1ST STEP.* In the conditions of Theorem 3.2 the claims of Lemmas 3.3, 3.6 and 3.12 hold. In particular  $A_j = \text{dom ap } X_j f$  is a measurable set,  $j = 1, \dots, \dim H_1$ ,  $f$  is approximately differentiable along the curves  $\Gamma_k(g; t)$  at  $t = 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$  almost everywhere in the set  $A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\dim H_1} A_i$  and relations (3.2) and (3.7) hold.

If (3.7) holds at the point  $g \in A$  then, in view of structure of  $L_g$  (3.6), estimate (3.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{ap } \overline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}(f(g), f(v))}{d_{cc}(g, v)} \\ &\leq \text{ap } \overline{\lim}_{v \rightarrow g} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(g), L_g(v)) + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(v), f(v)) + o(\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(v), f(v)))}{d_{cc}^g(g, v)} \\ &\leq C \sup_{d_{cc}^g(g, v) \leq 1} \left( \prod_{k=1}^N \tilde{\delta}_{t_k}^{f(g)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(g) \right) < \infty.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Hence, the left hand side of (3.10) is finite almost everywhere in  $A$ . Applying Theorem 2.9, we obtain a countable family of measurable sets covering  $A$  up to the set of measure 0 such that the restriction of  $f$  to each of them is a Lipschitz mapping.

Let  $E$  be one set of this countable family and let  $L_g : \mathcal{G}^g \cap \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{f(g)}$  be defined at almost all points of  $E \subset A$ . To prove the theorem it remains to verify that  $L_g$  is a homomorphism of the Lie groups. In particular, we need to prove that given two points  $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \mathcal{G}^g$  we have

$$L_g(\hat{u} \cdot \hat{v}) = L_g(\hat{u}) \cdot L_g(\hat{v}). \quad (3.11)$$

2ND STEP. Let  $g \in E$  be a density point where (3.7) holds and suppose  $B_{cc}(g, r_g) \subset \mathcal{G}^g$ . Then given  $\hat{v} \in B_{cc}(g, r_g)$ ,  $t \in [-r_g, r_g]$  there exists  $v'_t = v'_t(g) \in E$ , such that  $d_{cc}^g(\delta_t^g \hat{v}, v'_t) = o(t)$ . By Lemma 3.13, we have

$$\text{ap} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v'_t), L_g(v'_t))}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v'_t), L_g(v'_t))}{t} = 0.$$

Then, using Property 3.11 of the mapping  $L_g$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v'_t), L_g(\delta_t^g \hat{v})) &\leq \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(v'_t), L_g(v'_t)) + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(L_g(v'_t), L_g(\delta_t^g \hat{v})) \\ &= o(d_{cc}^g(g, v'_t)) + o(d_{cc}^g(g, \delta_t^g \hat{v})) = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider two points  $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in B_{cc}(g, r_g/2)$  and their product  $\hat{u} \cdot \hat{v}$ . If  $\hat{u} = \hat{\Phi}_g(s_1, \dots, s_N)$  and  $\hat{v} = \hat{\Phi}_g(r_1, \dots, r_N)$  then define by induction

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t)(\cdot) &= \Phi_1(ts_1)(\cdot); \\ u_k(t)(\cdot) &= \Phi_k(ts_k) \circ u_{k-1}(t)(\cdot), \quad k = 2, \dots, N; \\ v_1(t)(\cdot) &= \Phi_1(tr_1)(\cdot); \\ v_k(t)(\cdot) &= \Phi_k(tr_k) \circ v_{k-1}(t)(\cdot), \quad k = 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

From the structure of functions  $\Phi_k(\cdot)$  and from Theorem 1.7 it follows

$$\begin{aligned} d_{cc}^g(u_N(t)(g), \delta_t^g \hat{u}) &= o(t), \\ d_{cc}^g(v_N(t)(g), \delta_t^g \hat{v}) &= o(t), \\ d_{cc}^g(v_N(t) \circ u_N(t)(g), \delta_t^g(\hat{u} \cdot \hat{v})) &= o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

As long as  $g$  is a density point of  $E$  we can find  $w'_k(t)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, 2N$ , such that  $d_{cc}^g(u_k(t)(g), w'_k(t)) = o(t)$  and  $d_{cc}^g(v_k(t)(u_N(t)(g)), w'_{N+k}(t)) = o(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . By the same arguments as above we conclude that

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(w'_{2N}(t)), L_g(\delta_t^g[\hat{u} \cdot \hat{v}])) = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

All we need is to verify that

$$\tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(g)}(f(w'_{2N}(t)), L_g(\delta_t^g \hat{u}) \cdot L_g(\delta_t^g \hat{v})) = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.12)$$

3RD STEP. To prove (3.12) we assume  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E) < \infty$  and restrict the set  $E$  applying Egorov's and Luzin's theorems.

Recall that the mapping  $x \mapsto \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}} f \circ \Gamma_k(x)$  is defined in  $E$ , is measurable. By Lemma 3.13 we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)}(f \circ \Phi_k(t)(x), \delta_t^{f(x)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(x)) = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

for every density point  $x \in E$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Phi_k(t)(x) \in E$ .

First, by Luzin's theorem there is a closed set  $E_1 \subset E$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E \setminus E_1) < \varepsilon/3$  and

- (a) all the mappings  $x \mapsto \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}} f \circ \Gamma_k(x)$  are uniformly continuous in  $E_1$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .

Next, by Egorov's theorem there is a measurable set  $E_2 \subset E_1$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E_1 \setminus E_2) < \varepsilon/3$  and

- (b) the limit (3.13) converges uniformly on  $E_2$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .

Now we consider a family of measurable functions

$$E_2 \ni x \rightarrow \psi_t(x) = \frac{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(x, t) \setminus E)}{\mathcal{H}^\nu(B_{cc}(x, t))}.$$

We have that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi_t(x) = 0$  at almost all points of  $x \in E_2$ . By Egorov's theorem there exists a measurable set  $E_3 \subset E_2$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^\nu(E_2 \setminus E_3) < \varepsilon/3$  and the limit

- (c)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi_t(x) = 0$  is uniform in  $E_3$ .

Property (c) allows us to repeat the arguments of the 2nd step with all  $o(\cdot)$  uniform in  $E_3$ . Therefore, if  $x \in E_3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)}(f(w'_1(t)(x)), \delta_{t\sigma_1}^{f(x)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_1)(x)) &= o(t), \\ \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)}(f(w'_k(t)(w'_{k-1}(t))(x)), \delta_{t\sigma_k}^{f(w'_{k-1}(t))} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(w'_{k-1}(t))) &= o(t), \\ \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)}(f(w'_{N+1}(t)(w'_N(t))(x)), \delta_{t\tau_1}^{f(w'_N(t))} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_1)(w'_N(t))) &= o(t), \\ \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)}(f(w'_{N+k}(t)(w'_{N+k-1}(t))(x)), \delta_{t\tau_k}^{f(w'_{N+k-1}(t))} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(w'_{N+k-1}(t))) &= o(t) \end{aligned}$$



as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $k = 2, \dots, N$  and all  $o(\cdot)$  are uniform with respect to  $x \in E_3$ . Here the coefficients  $\sigma_k$  and  $\tau_k$  are defined from (3.6) for the points  $\hat{u}$  and  $\hat{v}$  respectively. Then, by properties (a) and (b) the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)} \left( f(w'_{2N}(t)(x)), \prod_{k=1}^N \delta_{t\sigma_k}^{f(x)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(x) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^N \delta_{t\tau_k}^{f(x)} \text{ap } d_{\text{sub}}(f \circ \Gamma_k)(x) \right) \\ = \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)} (f(w'_{2N}(t)(x)), \delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{u}) \cdot \delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{v})) = o(t) \end{aligned}$$

is uniform with respect to  $x \in E_3$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} t \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)} (L_x(\hat{u} \cdot \hat{v}), L_x(\hat{u}) \cdot L_x(\hat{v})) \\ = \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)} (\delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{u} \cdot \hat{v}), \delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{u}) \cdot \delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{v})) \\ \leq \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)} (\delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{u} \cdot \hat{v}), f(w'_{2N}(t)(x))) \\ + \tilde{d}_{cc}^{f(x)} (f(w'_{2N}(t)(x)), \delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{u}) \cdot \delta_t^{f(x)} L_x(\hat{v})) = o(t) \end{aligned}$$

and (3.10) is proved for  $x \in E_3$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrary positive number, the Theorem is proved.  $\square$

## 4 Application: an area formula

Suppose that  $x = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i X_i\right)(g)$ . Define a quantity

$$d_\rho(g, x) = \max \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\dim H_1} |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left( \sum_{j=\dim H_1+1}^{\dim H_2} |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \dots, \left( \sum_{j=\dim H_{M-1}+1}^N |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2M}} \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

It is easy to see that  $d_\rho$  is locally equivalent to  $d_\infty$ . Since we have already proved that  $d_\infty$  and  $d_{cc}$  are locally equivalent, the following statement also holds.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . There is a compact neighborhood  $U(g) \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$c_1 d_{cc}(u, v) \leq d_\rho(u, v) \leq c_2 d_{cc}(u, v)$$

for all  $u, v$  in  $U(g)$ , where constants  $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$  independent of  $u, v \in U(g)$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** *Quantity  $d_\rho$  is a quasimetric.*

Denote an open ball in the quasimetric  $d_\rho$  of radius  $r$  with center in  $x$  as  $\text{Box}_\rho(x, r)$ . Define the (spherical) Hausdorff measure of a set  $E$  with respect to metric  $d_\rho$  as

$$\mathcal{H}_\rho^k(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \inf \left\{ \sum_i r_i^k : E \subset \bigcup_i \text{Box}_\rho(x_i, r_i), r_i < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Since Ball-Box theorem holds, Hausdorff measures constructed with respect to  $d_{cc}$  and with respect to  $d_\rho$  are absolutely continuous one with respect to another. We have

$$d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(x) = \mathcal{D}_{\rho,cc}(x) d\mathcal{H}_{cc}^\nu(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{\rho,cc} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (0, \infty]$  is absolutely continuous and strictly positive. So, we could equally obtain our results for  $d_\rho$ .

For Lipschitz mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory mappings the following area formula holds.

**Theorem 4.3** ([K3]). *Suppose  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a measurable set, and the mapping  $\varphi : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is Lipschitz with respect to sub-Riemannian quasimetrics  $d_\rho$  and  $\widetilde{d}_\rho$ . Then the area formula*

$$\int_E f(x) \mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(x) = \int_{\varphi(E)} \sum_{x: x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} f(x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(y) \quad (4.2)$$

holds, where  $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  (here  $\mathbb{M}$  is an arbitrary Banach space) is such that function  $f(x) \mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x)$  is integrable, and

$$\mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x) = \sqrt{\det(D\varphi(x)^* D\varphi(x))} \quad (4.3)$$

is the sub-Riemannian Jacobian of  $\varphi$  at  $x$ .

As an immediate corollary of 4.3 and 3.2 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose  $E \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a measurable set, and the mapping  $\varphi : E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is approximately differentiable almost everywhere. Then the area formula*

$$\int_E f(x) \text{ap } \mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(x) = \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{x: x \in \varphi^{-1}(y) \setminus \Sigma} f(x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(y)$$

holds, where  $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  (here  $\mathbb{M}$  is an arbitrary Banach space) is such that function  $f(x) \operatorname{ap} \mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x)$  is integrable,  $\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(\Sigma) = 0$  and

$$\operatorname{ap} \mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x) = \sqrt{\det(\operatorname{ap} D\varphi(x)^* \operatorname{ap} D\varphi(x))}$$

is the approximate sub-Riemannian Jacobian of  $\varphi$  at  $x$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2, there is a sequence of disjoint sets  $\Sigma, E_1, E_2, \dots$  such that  $E = \Sigma \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(\Sigma) = 0$  and every restriction  $\varphi|_{E_i}$  is a Lipschitz mapping. Then, by Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f(x) \operatorname{ap} \mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f(x) \mathcal{J}^{SR}(\varphi, x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{x: x \in \varphi^{-1}(y) \cap E_i} f(x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(y) = \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{x: x \in \varphi^{-1}(y) \setminus \Sigma} f(x) d\mathcal{H}_\rho^\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

## References

- [A] Arnol'd V. I., *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [BLU] Bonfiglioli A., Lanconelli E. and Uguzonni F., *Stratified Lie Groups and Potential Theory for their Sub-Laplacians*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [Ch] Chow W. L., *Über systeme von linearen partialen differentialgleichungen erster ordnung*, Math. Ann. **117** (1939), 98–105.
- [F] Federer H., *Geometric measure theory*, series Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, **Band 153**, New York: Springer-Verlag New York Inc. (1969).
- [FS] Folland G. B. and Stein E. M., *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1982. (Math notes; **28**)
- [G] Gromov M., *Carnot–Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, Sub-Riemannian Geometry, Prog. Math., vol. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, 72–323.

- [Gr] Greshnov A. V., *A proof of Gromov Theorem on homogeneous nilpotent approximation for  $C^1$ -smooth vector fields*, *Mathematicheskie Trudy*, **15** (2012), no. 2 (accepted)
- [H] Hörmander L., *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, *Acta Math.* **119** (1967), 147–171.
- [K1] Karmanova M., *A new approach to investigation of Carnot–Carathéodory geometry*. *Geom. Func. Anal.* **21**, no. 6 (2011), 1358–1374.
- [K2] Karmanova M., *Convergence of scaled vector fields and local approximation theorem on Carnot–Carathéodory spaces and applications*. *Dokl. AN* **440** (2011), no. 6, 736–742.
- [K3] Karmanova M., *The Area Formula for Lipschitz Mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory Spaces*, *Izvestiya: Mathematics* (submitted), [arXiv:1110.5483v1 \[math.MG\]](#)
- [KR] Koranyi A. and Reimann H. M., *Foundations for the theory of quasiconformal mappings on the Heisenberg group*. *Adv. Math.*, **111** (1995), 1–87.
- [KV] Karmanova M. and Vodopyanov S., *Geometry of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces, differentiability and coarea formula*. *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*. Birkhäuser 2009, 284–387.
- [KV1] Karmanova M. and Vodopyanov S., *On Local Approximation Theorem on Equiregular Carnot–Carathéodory spaces*. *Proceedings of "INDAM Meeting on Geometric Control and Sub-Riemannian Geometry"*, Cortona, May 2012. Springer INdAM Series 2013 (to appear).
- [Li] Lie S. and Engel F., *Theorie der Transformationsgruppen*. Bd 1-3, Lpz., 1888–93.
- [Ma] Magnani V., *Differentiability and area formula on stratified Lie groups*. *Houston J. Math.* **27** (2001), no. 2, 297–323.
- [Me] Metivier G., *Fonction spectrale et valeurs propres d’une classe d’opérateurs non elliptiques*. *Commun. Partial Differential Equations* **1** (1976), 467–519.
- [Mi] Mitchell J., *On Carnot–Carathéodory metrics*. *J. Differential Geometry* **21** (1985), 35–45.

- [NSW] Nagel A., Stein E. M. and Waigner S., *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields. I: Basic properties*. Acta Math. (2) **155** (1985), 103–147.
- [P] Pansu P., *Metriques de Carnot–Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un*. Ann. of Math. **119** (1989), 1–60.
- [Pa] Pauls S., *A notion of rectifiability modeled on Carnot groups*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), 49–82.
- [Pos] Postnikov M. M., *Lectures in Geometry. Semester V: Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*. Moscow, “Nauka”, 1982.
- [R] Rademacher H., *Über partielle und totale Differenzierbarkeit von Funktionen mehrerer Variablen und über die Transformation der Doppelintegrale. I*, Math. Ann. **79** (1919), 340–359.
- [Ra] Rashevsky P. K., *Any two points of a totally nonholonomic space may be connected by an admissible line*, Uch. Zap. Ped. Inst. im. Liebknechta, Ser. Phys. Math. **2** (1938), 83–94.
- [Re] Reshetnyak Yu. G., *Generalized derivatives and differentiability almost everywhere*. Math. USSR-Sb. **4** (1968), 293–302.
- [RS] Rotchild L. P. and Stein E. S., *Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), 247–320.
- [S1] Stepanoff W., *Über totale Differenzierbarkeit*, Math. Ann. **90** (1923), 318–320.
- [S2] Stepanoff W., *Sur les conditions de l’existence de la différentielle totale*, Matem. Sborn. Moscow **32** (1925), 511–527.
- [V1] Vodop’yanov S. K., *Monotone functions and quasiconformal mappings on Carnot groups*. Siberian Math. J. **37** (1996), no. 6, 1113–1136.
- [V2] Vodop’yanov S. K., *Mappings with bounded distortion and with finite distortion on Carnot groups*. Siberian Math. J. **40** (1999), no. 4, 644–677.
- [V3] Vodop’yanov S. K.,  *$\mathcal{P}$ -differentiability on Carnot groups in different topologies and related topics*, Proceedings on Analysis and Geometry (S. K. Vodopyanov, ed.) Novosibirsk, Sobolev Institute Press, 2000, 603–670.

- [V4] Vodop'yanov S. K., *Differentiability of mappings in the geometry of Carnot manifolds*, Siberian Math. J. **48** (2007), no. 2, 197–213.
- [V5] Vodopyanov S. K., *Geometry of Carnot–Carathéodory Spaces and Differentiability of Mappings*, Contemporary Mathematics **424** (2007), 247–302.
- [V6] Vodopyanov S. K., *Foundations of the theory of mappings with bounded distortion on Carnot groups*. The interaction of analysis and geometry, 303–344, Contemp. Math., 424, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [VG] Vodop'yanov S. K. and Greshnov A. V., *On the differentiability of mappings of Carnot–Carathéodory spaces*, Doklady Math. **67** (2003), no. 2, 246–251.
- [VK1] Vodopyanov S. K. and Karmanova M. B., *Local Geometry of Carnot Manifolds Under Minimal Smoothness*. Doklady Math. **75** (2) (2007), 240–246.
- [VK2] Vodop'yanov S. K. and Karmanova M. B., *Local approximation theorem on Carnot manifolds under minimal smoothness*, Dokl. AN **427** (2009), no. 6, 731–736.
- [VU1] Vodop'yanov S. K. and Ukhlov A. D., *Approximately differentiable transformations and the change of variables on nilpotent groups*. Siberian Math. J. **37** (1996), no. 1, 62–78.
- [VU2] Vodop'yanov S. K. and Ukhlov A. D., *Sobolev spaces and  $(P, Q)$ -quasiconformal mappings of Carnot groups*. Siberian Math. J. **39** (1998), no. 4, 665–682.
- [W] Whitney H., *On totally differentiable and smooth functions*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 143–159.